



Gregory Owen^{1,2}, Matthew J. Cohen² ¹Alachua County Environmental Protection Department, ²University of Florida, School of Forest, Fisheries, and Geomatics Sciences

Introduction

- Springs have transitioned from submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) to nuisance algae
- Restoration has primarily focused on nutrient reduction
- Restoration fails because resilience of degraded ecosystems is not considered
- Restoration techniques are not equal and may vary by site
- Ranking a hierarchy of needs for individual sites promotes restoration success

Objective

• Determine hierarchy of restoration needs by evaluating competing restoration needs in Florida's iconic springs

Hypotheses

- Dissolved oxygen (DO) is a keystone driver of restoration success
- Plant and snail introductions more successful when dissolved oxygen concentrations are favorable

Materials and methods

- Plant and algal growth measured at Hornsby Spring over one-year period:
- Control plots and combination of 4 variables tested and replicated 3 times (total 48 plots)
 - 1. Addition of Snails (S)
 - 2. Removal of Algae (A)
 - 3. Planting of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (P)

4. Increased DO through Aeration (D)

- Dissolved oxygen averaged 0.20 mg/L
- naturally/increased to 1.77 in aeration
- Light and velocity measurements collected to ensure primary factors equal
- Algal growth measured off ceramic tiles scraped cleaned
- Plant growth measured by counts of stocks and leaf blades measurements



A. Hornsby Spring Location, B. Experiment Location, **C.** Experiment Set Up, **D.** Schematic of Experiment Design

2 meters

3 meters

C PS PSA A

D

Breathing Life into a Spring Prioritizing Restoration Activities in Florida's Springs

Results

- Aeration alone was statistically significant and reduced the slope of the model by $\sim 25\%$
- Snails were statistically significant when combined with aeration, reduced slope by additional 43%
- Planting SAV and aeration lesser yet still statistically significant reduction in algal biomass
- Removal of algae at the start of the project did not have significant effect of the growth of algae

Summary Readout of General Linear Model

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	p-value
(Intercept)	1.32	0.058	22.37	< 2.00 e-16***
S	-0.011	0.036	-0.318	0.75
D	-0.013	0.084	-0.154	0.88
Р	0.030	0.036	0.849	0.40
A	-0.042	0.036	-1.18	0.24
Days	0.013	0.001	15.5	< 2.00 e-16***
S:D	-0.244	0.051	-4.76	2.81 e-06***
D:P	-0.106	0.051	-2.06	0.04*
D:A	0.057	0.051	1.12	0.26
D:Days	0.004	0.001	-3.61	0.0004***
Significant codes: 0.001*** . 0.05*				

Null deviance: 58.56 on 360 degrees of freedom

Residual deviance: 20.84 on 351 degrees of freedom







Results continued

- Aeration had significant effect on establishment and growth of SAV
- The number of plants significantly increased compared to treatments without aeration
- SAV reproduced and flowered over the period of the project only with aeration
- Treatments at background levels of DO (0.22mg/L) experienced high levels of plant mortality
- Algal Cell counts significantly less on treatments receiving aeration vs without
- Composition of algal communities differed between treatments with and without DO



Aeration Treatment Box with Snails and Plants







Algal Biomass Accumulation Over Time by Treatment Type Control (C), Dissolved Oxygen Aeration (D), Planting of SAV (P), Addition of Snails (S)





Time Series of Average Plant Stock Counts by Treatment Type

Algal Cell Counts by Treatment Type and Algal Type

Longest Leaf Blade Measurements by Treatment Type at Start, Mid-

SA 340 davs



Algal Percent Composition by Treatment Type ■ Other □ Chlorophyta ■ Cyanobacteria ■ Bacillariophyta 100% 80% <u>0</u>70% %09 e t 40% Ŭ 30% 002 0



SCHOOL OF FOREST, FISHERIES, AND **GEOMATICS SCIENCES**

Conclusions

- Complex interactions among ecosystem drivers and internal processes limit the efficacy of some restoration actions
- Algal removal activities offered limited value either alone or in combination with other activities
- Gastropods have a strong affect on algal growth, but only when dissolved oxygen threshold (>1.67 mg/L) are met
- SAV planting were successful only when dissolved oxygen thresholds (>1.67mg/L) are met
- Dissolved oxygen is the keystone variable controlling spring restoration



Literature cited

Carlos M. Duarte, Daniel J. Conley, Jacob Carstensen, Maria Sanchez-Camacho. Return to Neverland: Shifting Baselines Affect Eutrophication Restoration Targets. Estuaries and Costs 32; 29-36. 2009

- Heffernan, James B., Dina M. Liebowitz, Thomas K. Frazer, Jason M. Evans, and Matthew J. Cohen. Algal Blooms and Nitrogenenrichment hypothesis in Florida springs: evidence, alternatives, and adaptive management. Ecological Applications, 20(3), 2010, pp. 816-829. 2010
- Liebowitz, Dina M., Matthew J Cohen, James B. Heffernan, Lawrence V. Korhnak, and Thomas K. Frazer. Environmentallymediated consumer control of algal proliferation in Florida springs. Freshwater Biology. 2014. 59, 2009-2023.
- Suding N. Katherine, Katherine L Gross and Gregory R. Houseman. Alternative states and positive feedbacks in restoration ecology. TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution Vol. 19 No. 1. 2004.
- Upchurch, Sam B., Jian Chen, Crystal R. Cain. Springsheds on the Santa Fe River. A SDII Global Corporation Report prepared for Alachua County Board of County Commissioners. June 2007.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alachua County and Cohen Lab for their assistance, Camp Kulaqua support and location access, Duke Energy for plant donations, Sean King and Wood. and Karst Environmental Services for their technical expertise, Jennifer Adler for photo contributions and Geraldine Klarenberg for statistical advice. Funding for this project was provided by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and Alachua County. This project is part a larger restoration effort at Hornsby Springs. For more information, please contact gowen@alachuacounty.us