Deducing Dominant Drivers of Discharge Dynamics: Simultaneously Testing Multiple Causal Hypotheses of Changes in Spring Flow

> UF Water Institute Symposium February 25th, 2020 Nathan Reaver

Acknowledgements

Why is Flow in Silver Springs Declining? Florida Fish and Wildlife Foundation Contract No. PFS 1819-06





https://www.floridastateparks.org/learn/geology-silver-springs



https://www.floridastateparks.org/silversprings

The last

1 mar

ŝ.,

Silver Springs Flow Decline



Silver Springs Flow Decline





NY ...

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

- **H1-Springshed area decline**
- H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts
- **H3-Groundwater pumping**
- **H4-Landuse change**
- H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

- H1-Springshed area decline
- H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts
- **H3-Groundwater pumping**
- **H4-Landuse change**
- H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Howard T. Odum Florida Springs Institute (2014). Silver Springs Restoration Plan

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts **H3-Groundwater pumping H4-Landuse change** H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts H3-Groundwater pumping **H4-Landuse change H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle** H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts H3-Groundwater pumping H4-Landuse change **H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle** H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Barlow, Paul M. (2003). Ground Water in Freshwater-Saltwater Environments of the Atlantic Coast. USGS. Retrieved on 2009-03-21. Figure B-1

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts H3-Groundwater pumping **H4-Landuse change H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle** H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Knowles et al. 2010

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

- **H1-Springshed area decline**
- H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts
- H3-Groundwater pumping
- **H4-Landuse change**
- H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring
- H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring







Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline – allow time varying springshed area
H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts – drive model with climate observations
H3-Groundwater pumping – drive model with pumping estimates
H4-Landuse change - allow time varying soil storage capacity
H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle – storativity of lower aquifer
H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring – allow time varying river resistance
H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring – size of surficial aquifer

Process-Inclusive Model





Simultaneously Testing Multiple Hypotheses Available Data: Available Process-Inclusive Groundwater **Mechanistic Observations** Model Spring Run Discharge Spring Discharge 20 40 4 Discharge (CMS) 10 20 30 40 8 Discharge (CMS) $Pr(\mathbf{D}|\mathbf{H})Pr(\mathbf{H})$ 9 9 alibratio 0 1940 1960 2000 1940 1960 1980 2000 2020 1980 2020 Year Year Spring Pool Elevation **Upper Floridan Elevation** 13.0 17 12.5 Pr(H|D)Elevation (m) 14 15 16 Elevation (m) 12.0 11.5 Likelihood of 3 **Biophysical** 11.0 2 1940 1960 1980 2000 2020 1940 1960 1980 2000 2020 Processes Year Year

Available Data:



Year

Spring Run Discharge

Upper Floridan Elevation







Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring

H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring

H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring





Barlow, Paul M. (2003). Ground Water in Freshwater-Saltwater Environments of the Atlantic Coast. USGS. Retrieved on 2009-03-21. Figure B-1

Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring

H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Proposed flow decline mechanisms

H1-Springshed area decline

H2-Recharge decline due to climate shifts

H3-Groundwater pumping

H4-Landuse change

H5-Ghyben-Herzberg principle

H6-Vegetative "damming" of the spring

H7-Surficial aquifer "damming" of the spring



Calibrated model vs. Observed Data



Calibrated model vs. Observed Data

Stationary Climate











- 45% (3.2 m3/s) can likely be attributed to climate
- 30% (2.0 m3/s) can likely be attributed to springshed area changes
- 16% (1.1 m3/s) can likely be attributed to pumping
- 10% (0.7 m3/s) can likely be attributed to change roughness



Spring Discharge (CMS) Spring Discharge (CMS) S S Date (years) Date (years)

No Saltwater Interface

No Surficial Aquifer

Stage Discharge Relation



Summary



Future of Flow in Silver Springs

Current Trends Likely to Increase or Maintain Spring Flow

- 1. Average rainfall appears to be in an increasing trend.
- 2. The springshed area is currently increasing in size.
- 3. The Manning's roughness is currently declining.
- 4. The springshed appears to be entering a "humid phase"
- 5. The average evaporative ratio currently declining
- 6. The rate of groundwater pumping appears to have leveled off

Current Trends Likely to Decrease Spring Flow

- 1. Average PET appears to be in an increasing trend, which will likely continue with global climate change.
- 2. If groundwater pumping rates could increase with projected growing population.
- 3. Future increases in submerged aquatic vegetation cover, for example the expansion of the invasive *Hydrilla verticillate* could drive small flow declines by increasing Silver River and/or Ocklawaha River stage

Acknowledgements



<section-header>

UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA



Questions?