

Computational approaches to decode megagenomes and develop database resources for the forest tree community

Jill Wegrzyn

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology University of Connecticut

CAGAGGCCAA GTAAAACAT ΔΤΓΓΟΔΔΔΓΔΓΓΟΔΔΔΤ GGC CCAAATCAAACAGI TTCAATAATTGGTCTAGGATAAGGATAATATACAGAGAACAT ACATACACAGACACAC TAATATAGAT GAAGAAAACAAAGACTGTT TATGGAAAAATGAAAATAGATTTTAAAACATGTTAATTCACGTT TTAGGAACAATAAATCACATTAATTCCTTATCTCATGTGAAATTT ACT AAAT ΓΑΤΤΟΑΑΤΑΑΑΤΑΤ TAGAATAATAAGTCCCAGGCACAAGAC GTTGAAGGAAGATTATTCATTT AGGC ACAAGACAGACTAT TACAGGAT CTCAAATT CAGAT GGG' AG Data Science: More data or better algorithms? CAGC CTCAAGGAAGAAGAGAGAAA AAAATGAAA CTTACCTGTCAATGTTATTAATAT TTTTAGGAACAATAAATCACATTAATTC TTATCTCATGTGAAATTTCATATTTATGATTGATACO ACT Google's Research Director Peter Norvig (2010) AATAA AAA GTCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTATTATG1 TGGGGATA CACAAGACAGACTATGATTTACAGGATCAGAT AGG AAATTCGACTG GAATAAAACA AAACAAGTAAATAAAGTTAATTTCAAGTTGTAATTGATGCTACTATGGAAAAATGAAAATAGATTTTAAAAACATGTTAATTCACGTTACTTT GAA ACAAGACC AGACTATGATTTACAGGATCAGATGTGGACTCTCAAATT GGGGATACCATGTTCACAAGAC TGTAATTGATGCTATCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTATTAT CTGAGA

We Want More Data

CATTGG

ATGTGG

CAGACA

AACAAA

TACCTG

CATTTG

TGGGG/ GTAAAT

CCTGTT

ATAAATCACATTAATTCCAACATGCAAAGAGGGAAATCTCCATA GTGTAAAACATTCTCAGAATTTTAAACAATAACAAATCAGGGC ACAGTTGTATTATTAGAAACTGAGGGCTAAAAACTGTGCACA FAAGGATAATATACAGAGAACATGCCAAAAGTTTAAGCAAGAA TTAATTCACGTTACTTTTTGTTAAATTTACTTTTCTTCTTCTTCACT CCTTATCTCATGTGAAATTTCATATTTATGATTGATACCTTTAA AGAATAATAAGTCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTATTATGTTCTAG TGTGGACTCTCAAATTCGACTGAGAATAAAACAGACACTAAA AAACAGAGCCATGTGACCAATGAGAGAGATGAGGGTGGCAG

AGTGCAAGGGTCCTGGATAGGAATGA TCA GAAGAAAGAGAAACTATGGAAAAATGAAAATAGATTTTAAAA GTTAC GTTAA TTAGGAACAATAAATCACATTAAT ACC CTCATGTGAAATI ΑΑΤ τράτατ TAGAATAATAAGTCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTAT AT٦ AGATI ATTCAATAAATAT ACAAGACAGACTATGATTTACAGGATCAGATGTGGACTCTCAAATTCGACTGAGAATAAAAACA TAAA GTAATTGATGCTACTATGGAAAAATGAAAATAGATTTTAAAAACAT CAATGTTATT GGAACAATAAATCAC ΔΤΤΔ TGA TTAAATGIC AT 'GAAGGAAGAT' FATTCATT ATTCAATAAATAT GAATAATAAGTCCC ACAA

Big Data in Genomics

PERSPECTIVE

Big Data: Astronomical or Genomical?

Zachary D. Stephens¹, Skylar Y. Lee¹, Faraz Faghri², Roy H. Campbell², Chengxiang Zhai³, Miles J. Efron⁴, Ravishankar Iyer¹, Michael C. Schatz⁵*, Saurabh Sinha³*, Gene E. Robinson⁶*

Unit	Size
Byte	1
Kilobyte	1,000
Megabyte	1,000,000
Gigabyte	1,000,000,000
Terabyte	1,000,000,000,000
Petabyte	1,000,000,000,000,000
Exabyte	1,000,000,000,000,000,000
Zettabyte	1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000

"Compared genomics with three other major generators of Big Data: **Astronomy, YouTube, and Twitter...**Genomics is either on par with or the most demanding of the domains analyzed here in terms of data acquisition, storage, distribution, and analysis"

Mostly Genomic but... Proteomics, Phenomics, Metabolomics...





Acquiring Knowledge through Big Data



Gene Conservation of Tree Species – Banking on the Future (2016)

- Survey Conducted
 - Breeders, Geneticists, Land Managers, and Ecologists
 - 31 Questions
 - Trees (greenhouse, plots, landscape, numbers, species)
 - Data collection (devices, software)
 - Analytical tools (statistical, databases)
 - Data storage
 - Challenges
 - 283 Respondents (~1,092 users)

Gene Conservation of Tree Species – Banking on the Future (2016)



Motivation (Data Provider)

- Support next-generation data requirements for the biological database
 - Increased quantity and availability of new data
 - Support data integration across resources
 - Support complex data analytics
 - Move data efficiently



Open source content management system (CMS) for biological data

Modules for genetic, genomic, and breeding data generated through a CMS and standardized schema

Benefits:

- Reduces development costs
- Provides an API for complete customization
- Uses GMOD Chado and community ontologies for standardization
- Allows for sharing of extensions between sites



The Hardwood Genomics Project



GDR Genome Database for Rosaceae

CottonGen

a genomics, genetics and breeding resource for cotton

🔆 Citrus Genome Database



i5k Workspace@NAL

LIS - Legume Information System

TreeGenes

The Banana Genome Hub

Current State of Tripal

- <u>http://tripal.info</u>
- Content Management System for Biological Data
- Over 100 Installations
- Current Version 2.0





TREEGENES DATABASE



Welcome	Research	TreeGenes	DiversiTree	FTGSC	iPlant	Resources	Events	News	Jobs	Links
Welcom	ne to the 1	TreeGenes	Project!		-			1/		1

Browser Portal

rrently, TreeGenes uses two web based browsers for sequence and annotation ualization. First, the Generic Genome Browser (GBrowse v. 2.54) is a combination of tabase and interactive website for manipulating and displaying annotations on nomes.

e second browser, WebApollo (v. 052013), is built upon JBrowse. From WebApollo, a riety of annotation tracks are available for visulaization against the draft assembly of Loblolly Pine (*Pinus taeda*) genome.

TreeGenes TreeGenes :: Overview

Sequence Resources

Summary by Genus

Colleagues

Organizations

Forest Trees

Species Database

Colleague Directory

meedenes .. overview

The TreeGenes database and Dendrome project provide custom informatics tools to manage the flood of information resulting from high-throughput genomics projects in forest trees from sample collection to downstream analysis. This resource is enhanced with systems that are well connected with federated databases, automated data flows, machine learning analysis, standardized annotations and quality control processes. The database itself contains several curated modules that support the storage of data and provide the foundation for web-based searches and visualization tools. **GMOD** GUI tools such as **CMAP** for genetic maps and **GBrowse** for genome and transcriptome assemblies are implemented here. A sample tracking system, known as the **Forest Tree Genetic Stock Center**, sits at the forefront of most large-scale projects. Barcode identifiers assigned to the trees during sample collection are maintained in the database to identify an individual through DNA extraction, resequencing, genotyping and phenotyping.



NOME



TreeGenes Database: Species



- 1,701 species from 112 genera
 - At least one genetic artifact from each species
 - Conifers but is currently inclusive of all forest trees
- Full genome sequence: 15 species
- Transcriptome/Expression resources: 6,920,817 sequences from 322 species
- 108 genetic maps from 37 species
- Extensive genotypic data (GBS and array)

TreeGenes Database: Users

treegenesdb.org

2,012 users from 855 organizations in 92 countries



New TreeGenes Coming Soon!

A Forest Tree Genome Da	atabase	
Home FTP Access About	TreeGenes Contact	
Data		TreeGenes on Twitter
FTP data downloadGenomes	Welcome to the new Tripal- powered TreeGenes site!	Tweets by @TreeGer
Transcriptomes Genotyping	We're working on getting everything ready for you.	3 TreeGenes Retweeted
 TreeGenes UniGene 		EMBL-EBI
Species	12	Wheat genome data now
• Literature		available in Ensembl Plants!
		plants.ensembl.org/Triticum_ aesti
Tools	About TreeGenes	♥ E→ 19 Apr
• CartograTree	The TreeGenes database providea custom informatics tools to manage	TreeGenes Retweeted
 JBrowse DiversiTree 	the flood of information resulting from high-throughput genomics	AgBioData
• CMap	This resource is enhanced with systems that are well connected with	Bio @AgBioData
	federated databases, automated data flows, machine learning analysis,	The AgBioData website is
	standardized annotations and quality control processes. The database	agbiodata.org
Q	itself contains several curated modules that support the storage of data	Silie AgBioData
	and provide the foundation for web-based searches and visualization	Weiter Strategy Transfer Strategy Strategy Transfer Strategy Strategy Transfer Str
	10015.	AgBioData Workshop

Tripal Gateway Project (Data Provider)

- Support next-generation data requirements for the biological database
- Tripal Gateway Project
 - Increased quantity and availability of new data
 - Support data integration across resources (Web Services) Tripal Exchange (v3.0)
 - Support complex data analytics (Integration with Galaxy API)
 - Move data efficiently (Software Defined Networking Tripal Data Transfer BDSS)

Canada **Tripal Gateway Project (NSF DIBBs) Kirstin Bett**, Dorrie Main, Sook Jung, Lacey Sanderson **Tree Databases Stephen Ficklin** Univ of Saskatchewan Washington State University KnowPulse • Genome Database for Rosaceae, Cool Season Food Legumes Citrus Genome Database Jill Wegrzyn **University of Connecticut** Steve Cannon, Ethy Cannon, Iowa State TreeGenes Andrew Farmer, NCGR • LegumeInfo, PeanutBase **University of Utah NSF ACI-REF** Collaborators **United States Meg Staton** University of Tennessee • Hardwood Genomics Alex Feltus, **Kuangching Wang** Clemson, Univ. Data Transfer, SDN, **Project Pls Galaxy Project** SOS Data Transfer Collaborators **Texas Advanced Computing Collaborating Databases** Center, public Galaxy Server Data Analysis Collaborators Gulf of

What is Galaxy?



Galaxy Integration



- Galaxy-Tripal crosstalk: Blend4php
 - PHP library, independent of Tripal that provides a wrapper for the Galaxy API
 - Any PHP application can interact with Galaxy
 - <u>https://github.com/galaxyproject/blend4php</u>
 - Provides a full suite of unit tests!

galaxyproject	/ blend4php			• Watch	- 4 1	Star	2	Ŷ Fork	0
<> Code (1) Is	sues 12 🕅 Pull request	s 0	phs						
A PHP API for inte	eracting with Galaxy http	o://galaxyproject.github.io/t	blend4php						
@ 444 c	commits	₽ 5 branches			đ	3 4 cont	ributor	'S	
Branch: master -	New pull request		Create new file	Upload files	Find file	Clo	ne or	download	1-
spficklin Unit te	ests completing successfully f	or alpha release			Latest c	ommit 7	143d6a	a 2 days a	go

Integrating Galaxy with Tripal



Galaxy Workflows



Testing on Galaxy instances at Washington State University (GDR), University of Connecticut (TreeGenes), and University of Tennessee (HWG)

DNA Sequence Data

- Re-sequencing alignment
- Variant discovery (against the reference)
- Variant discovery (between samples)
- Prediction of functional genetic variants
- Association Genetics
- Functional Annotation

RNA Sequence Data

- Transcriptome assembly
- Alignment to a reference
- Differential Expression analysis
- Gene co-expression network construction
- MiRNA analysis





TreeGenes Database: Software Defined Networking



Big Data Smart Socket

- Smart Data Transfer
- Standalone client with a metadata repository
- First step is to build an inventory of data sources relevant to a particular user community
 - NCBI (Genbank for Raw Data)
 - Cyverse (iPlant for analytics)
 - Tripal supported websites for supporting data
- Determines optimal method for data transfer for each data source through testing
- Data transfer methodology is encoded into the metadata repository



Tripal Gateway Use Cases

Researchers often focus on a single gene family and how it evolves across phylogenetic lineages.

Tripal Gateway:

- 1. A user could search across community DBs for their gene of interest (by BLAST or by functional annotation keyword) using Tripal Exchange.
- 2. The sequences could be gathered as a list and transferred to the user with the Data Transfer (BDSS) tool.
- 3. If the user prefers to use Galaxy for analysis, the transfer could load the gene list into the Tripal Galaxy module.
- 4. Basic workflow with multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building could be selected.

Galaxy Workflows



Testing on Galaxy instances at Washington State University (GDR), University of Connecticut (TreeGenes), and University of Tennessee (HWG)

DNA Sequence Data

- Re-sequencing alignment
- Variant discovery (against the reference)
- Variant discovery (between samples)
- Prediction of functional genetic variants
- Association Genetics
- Functional Annotation

RNA Sequence Data

- Transcriptome assembly
- Alignment to a reference
- Differential Expression analysis
- Gene co-expression network construction
- MiRNA analysis





Association mapping





Drought and pests/pathogens changing the landscape





Phenotype = Genotype + Environment

Provenance or Common Garden Trials

Marker Assisted Tree Breeding

Landscape Genomics

Phenotype X Environmental Associations

Genotype X Phenotype Associations

Genotype X Environmental Associations

TreeGenes Database: CartograTree



- Providing context to geo-referenced data
- Originated from Tree Biology Working Group through iPlant

TreeGenes Database: CartograTree



- Data from TreeGenes, WorldClim, Ameriflux, TRY-db
- Google fusion tables & Google maps

TreeGenes Database: Interfaces



- Retrieve genotype, phenotype, environmental, and sequence data
- Further analysis (MUSCLE, TASSEL, PAML) via SSWAP

TreeGenes Database: SSWAP



- SSWAP "reasons" over the input data and responds with relevant applications
- Send data through pipeline with selection (parameters)

TreeGenes Database: Cyverse (TACC)



- Connect with Cyverse Views

- Download data locally or maintain on cloud-based storage

Metadata Needed! Data Integration

TreeGenes Data Repository



Summary by Genus

TreeGenes Data Repository

Sequence Resources A listing of data submissions is displayed below. To submit data to TreeGenes, click here.

gue Directory	Date	Accession	Paper Title	Species	Data Statistics	Data Files				
S	8/5/2011	TGDR001	Association genetics of	netics of Populus ng lignin trichocarpa 1 black	Total Sites: 1	Covariate Data (Population Structur				
IS			and cellulose biosynthesis in black		Total Samples: 480 Total Genotypes: 419520 Total AFLP Markers: 0	Genotype Data (SNP) GPS Data Haplotype Data Phenotype Data Phenotype Definitions				
base			cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa, Salicaceae)		Total RAPD Markers: 0 Total SNP Markers: 874					
			secondary xylem.		Total cpSSR Markers: 0 Total SSR Markers: 0 Total Phenotypes: 1344					
atabase					Total Environmentals (per sample): 0 Total Environmentals (per site): 0					
е	9/25/2012	TGDR002	TGDR002	TGDR002	TGDR002	TGDR002 Astonishingly low	Quercus	Total Sites: 59	Genotype Data (cpSSR)	
			genetic variation in Quercus acutissima, an	acutissima	Total Genotypes: 12912	GPS Data Haplotype Data				
			in Satoyama, a		Total RAPD Markers: 0	Supplemental Data				
ome			traditional Japanese rural forest and		Total SNP Markers: 0 Total cpSSR Markers: 6					
immary						agricultural landscape, revealed by chloroplast		Total SSR Markers: 0 Total Phenotypes: 0		
base			microsatellite markers		Total Environmentals (per sample): 0 Total Environmentals (per site): 0					
	11/5/2012	TGDR003	Extensive selfing in an	Pinus	Total Sites: 2 Total Samples: 116	Genotype Data (SSR) GPS Data				
			of Pinus parviflora var.	parvinora	Total Genotypes: 464	Supplemental Data				
			the Boso Hills, Japan	the Boso Hills, Japan		Total RAPD Markers: 0	Supplemental Data			
ies	1				Lotal SNP Markers: 0	Supplemental Data				

Association mapping with CartograTree



GENOME DATABASE FOR ROSACEAE





Resources for Rosaceae Research Discovery and Crop Improvement

E ArcGIS

🛔 Sign In

Harmonized World Soil Dataset - Major Soil Groups (Data Basin Dataset) WorldClim - Global Climate Data Free climate data for ecological modeling and GIS



Association mapping with CartograTree

 \equiv



TreeGenes Database: Interfaces

treegenesdb.org

Current Development

- Better integration of layers (soil, climate prediction layers)
- Real time association of genotype to environment
- Observe gradients and population overlays
- TGDR Data Submission and Galaxy API in Tripal



Acquiring Knowledge through Big Data





Adaptive Potential

An organism's genetic makeup determines it's adaptive potential and probability of survival in diverse and changing environments.

25 YEARS

cold tolerant

200 YEARS

100 YEARS

not cold tolerant

Figure credit: Nicholas Wheeler, University of California, Davis

O YEARS

Transcriptomes in Forest Trees

Evo-devo Study

Dimorphism between juvenile and adult leaves (heteroblasty) Juniper (left) and Pine (right). UNAM - Lobo

Landscape Genomics

Identifying genes and alleles responsible for adaptation along an elevational gradient in two different species (Limber Pine – left, Engelmann Spruce – right). **Colorado State - Mitton**

Association Genetics

The *Trojan fir* (Christmas tree) transcriptome is being investigated for disease resistance genes against phytophthora by examining transcriptomes of susceptible and partially resistant trees. **NCSU –**

Whetten/Frampton

Improving Genomes

Transcriptomes can be used to inform the gene space. The sugar pine genome was assembled using additional support from deep coverage RNA-seq data (Illumina and PacBio) UCD – Langley/Neale











Sample to sequence





- Frame Selection GenemarkS-T
 - Provides information on complete, partial, and internal genes
- Transcriptome filtering RSEM
 - Use BAM/SAM alignment file to filter transcripts based on expression values
- Similarity Search DIAMOND
 - Best-hit selection based upon: contaminants, scores, coverage, and phylogenetics, informativeness
 - Leagues faster than traditional BLAST searching (Butchfink et. Al 2015)



- Orthologous gene family assignment EggNOG
 - Assigns gene families
 - Applies relevant protein domains terms
- Gene Ontology Annotation
 - Incorporation of curated terms
 - Molecular function, biological process, cellular component
 - Leverages curated databases first
- Output
 - Statistics on hits, contaminants, databases, each stage in enTAP
 - Full annotated list in tab-delimited format



Evaluating Frame Selection in Non-Model: Study Design

- Three non-model species: *Juglans regia* (Persian walnut), *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Douglas-fir), and *Homalodisca vitripennis* (glassy-winged sharpshooter)
- Our study seeks to compare ORF detection methods across three different organisms with draft genomes. The organisms represent two plants (gymnosperm and angiosperm) as well as an insect.

	and a		
1000		4	
		t <u>e</u>	
200	and the sea	4	
	a de la de		
- All and a	and the second se	C. J. S.J.	
		Caller,	F
2 - 1 - 1	The Parts		P
Contraction of the local		and an	
			E.J.
and the second second			6
			1
	to a la		
	En an 1	A HERE	10
		local and	
· · · ·	ALC DE		and the
Low the second second		St. C.K.	
	St. 2.2	Con Sec	and the second s
Shine and the second second		The Head	

Species	Genome Size (Mbp)	N50 (bp)
J. regia	668	464,955
H. vitripennis	2200	776,706
P. menziessi	14500	387,073





□100,000 sequences

- Frame selection
- □ Similarity Search
 - Uniprot Swiss-Prot
 - NCBI Refseq Complete
 - □ Arabidopsis
- Eggnog

Run time: 9hrs (8 cores)

- Genemark: 80 min
- □ Similarity Search: 406 min
 - Arabidopsis: 6 min
 - □ Refseq complete: 390 min
 - □ Swiss: 10 min
- □ Eggnog: 150 min
- □ enTAP ~30-45 min





- 539 (only similarity search annotation)
- 523 (only eggnog annotation)



PERSPECTIVE: CONIFER GENOMES



1C DNA content (Mb)

Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*)



- n=12
- Genome size: 21.6 Gbp
- Genotype to sequence: 20-1010
- Mapping population: 6-1030x8-1070 and 20-1010x11-1060 (1000 F₁ progeny)

Sugar pine (*Pinus lambertiana*)



- n=12
- Genome size: 31.9 Gbp
- Genotype to sequence: 6000
- Mapping population: 5038x5500(1300 F₁ progeny)

Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*)



- Genome size: 18.6 Gbp
- Genotype to sequence: 412-2
- Mapping population: 412-2x013-1 (1000 F₁ progeny)

ASSEMBLING THE REFERENCE GENOME (WGS)



Conifer Genomes Compared

*Includes transcriptome scaffolding for all three genomes with existing/new resources

Species	Pinus taeda (v1.01)	Ptaeda 2.0
Estimated genome size (Gbp)	21.6	21.6
Total scaffold span	22.6	22.1
N50 contig size (Kbp)	8.2	25.3
N50 scaffold size	66.9	107.1
Number of scaffolds	9,412,985*	1,496,869
Assembler	Masurca	Masurca

Genome Assemblies Compared



Amanda R. De La Torre et al. Plant Physiol. 2014;166:1724-1732

Genome annotation

~32 billion bp

ACAATAAATCACATTAATTCCTTATCTCATGTGAAATTTCATATTTATGATT ATAAATATTTTTTAGAATAATAAGTCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTATTATGTT CTAGGCATTGGGGGATACCATGTTCACAAGACAGACTATGATTTACAGGA TCAGATGTGGACTCTCAAATTCGACTGAGAATAAAACAGACACTAAACAA GTAAATAAAGTTAATTTCAAGTTGTAATTGATGCTAGAAAGACAATGAAAC AGAGCCATGTGACCAATGAGAGAGAGAGGGGGGGGCAGCAGCCTGTTTT AGATAAGGTACCTGATTGGTGGGATTGGAAGACCTCTCTGAGATTAGTG TCTTCAGATATGCCTTAATGATATGAAAGAACCATTCATGGGAAGGCCTA GCATTAAAAACCGTCTAGGCAGAATGAGCAGCAAGTGCAAGGGTCCTG GATAGGAATGAGCTGGATATACTCAAGGAAGAAAGAGAAACTATGGAAA AATGAAAATAGATTTTAAAACATGTTAATTCACGTTACTTTTGTTAAATTT ACTTTTCTTCTTCACTTCTTACCTGTCAATGTTATTAATATTTTTAGGAAC AATAAATCACATTAATTCCTTATCTCATGTGAAATTTCATATTTATGATTGA AATATTTTTTAGAATAATAAGTCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTATTATGTTCTA GGCATTGGGGATACCATGTTCACAAGACAGACTATGATTTACAGGATCA GATGTGGACTCTCAAATTCGACTGAGAATAAAACAGACACTAAACAAGTA AATAAAGTTAATTTCAAGTTGTAATTGATGCTACTATGGAAAAATGAAAAT AGATTTTAAAACATGTTAATTCACGTTACTTTTGTTAAATTTACTTTCTT CTTTCACTTCTTACCTGTCAATGTTATTAATATTTTTAGGAACAATAAATCA TAGAATAATAAGTCCCAGGCACAAGACCAGTATTATGTTCTAGGCATTGG GGATACCATGTTCACAAGACAGACTATGATTTACAGGATCAGATGTGGA AATTTCAAGTTGTAATTGATGCTATCCCAGGCACAAGACCA....

Genes:

- Coding, noncoding, miRNA, etc.
- Isoforms
- Expression

Genetic variation:

- SNPs

Regulatory sequences:

- Promoters
- Enhancers

Epigenetics:

- DNA methylation
- Chromatin



72.7

88.96

76.6

84.37

% of interspersed repeat

content

80.2



Genome Annotation: Genes!

Cyverse (TACC) Ran in 72 hours on 8,000 cores

Provided *ab initio* gene predictions for an additional 22,345 full length genes.

29,189 de novo transcriptome + 42,345 unique additions =

> 71,534 genes (round 1)
> 8,000 genes (round 2)

Challenges:

- Fragmented genome
- Pseudogenes
- Transcriptomic assemblies
- -> Overall poor gene models



Improving Gene Annotation Model developed on walnut (*Juglans*) genomes



Masking + RNA-Seq Reads + Pseudogene + Assembled Evidence

loblolly pine 2.01 - 33,215 gene models

Run time: 2 days on 64 cores

Annotating Juglans regia (Common Walnut)



- Genome sequenced using HiSeq 2500 (Illumina)
- Two different assembly methods
- Transcriptome scaffolding
- Creation of Pacbio data
- Tandem & interspersed repeat identification
- Gene space completeness through MAKER

MAKER: An Annotation Pipeline

• The Maker pipeline leverages existing software tools and integrates their output to produce the best possible gene model for a given location based on alignment evidence.



MAKER Annotation Results

- Overall number of gene models = 32,496
 - Classify these genes as high quality completes, high quality partials, and low quality.
 - *High Quality Completes* ~ 52% High Quality Partials ~ 27% Low Quality ~ 21%
- Limitations of MAKER
 - Uses NCBI BLAST to calculate alignment evidence
 - Requires training gene predictors like Augustus
 - Requires compiling a lot of evidence as input for accurate gene models
- Alternative?

BRAKER: Another Annotation Pipeline

- Solely relies on two software:
 - 1. Augustus
 - 2. GeneMarkE-T
- Requires only two inputs:
 - 1. Assembled Genome
 - 2. Alignments of raw RNA reads to assembled genome
- Pipeline developed in-house to combine aspects of BRAKER with EvidenceModeler



BRAKER/EvidenceModeler Annotation Results

- Overall number of gene models = 146,465
 - High quality set of genes:
 - Complete canonical multiexonic genes with a valid protein domain = 42,772 genes
 - Complete monoexonics genes aligning to "monoexonic gene database" = 343 genes
- Validation of High Quality Multiexonic Genes
 - EnTAP annotation
 - 41,472 genes aligned to Refseq Plant Protein or Uniprot Database with coverage > 50%.
 - Leaves 1,300 genes unaccounted for \rightarrow confirmed to be 'walnut specific'
- Further Validation
 - Captures ~75% of MAKER genes
 - Validated against transcriptome

Acknowledgements



USDA Agricultural Research Service Brian Knaus Utah State University Hardeep Rai Washington State University Doreen Main Stephen Ficklin University of Tennessee Meg Staton Clemson University Alex Feltus North Carolina State University Fikret Isik John Frampton Ross Whetten University of Maryland Aleksey Zimin James A Yorke Texas A&M University Carol Loopstra Jeffrey Puryear Claudio Casola Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine Daniela Puiu Steven L. Salzberg Indiana University Keithanne Mockaitis

USDA Forest Service

Detlev Vogler Camille Jensen Annette Delfino-Mix Jessica Wright Richard Cronn





United States Department of Agriculture

EDICIN

STATERSITE 18 TARVINTO



Taylor Falk Uzay Sezen Gaurav Sablok Nic Herndon Daniel Gonzalez-Ibeas Robin Paul Steven Demurjian, Jr. Emily Grau Alex Hart Qiaoshan Lin

University of Connecticut

Ethan Baker

UCONN UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT



University of California, Davis

David Neale John Liechty Pedro J. Martinez-Garcia Patricia Maloney Randi Famula Hans Vasquez-Gross Charles H. Langley Kristian Stevens Marc Crepeau **University of Colorado** Jeffry Mitton **University of California, Merced** Lara Kueppers



University of Colorado Boulder | Colorado Springs | Denver | Anschutz Medical Campus