## Southeast Environmental **Research Center**



# **GASEOUS CARBON EMISSIONS (METHANE AND CARBON DIOXIDE)** FROM WETLAND SOILS IN A RE-CREATED EVERGLADES LANDSCAPE

**Bradley R. Schonhoff**<sup>1,2</sup>, Leonard J. Scinto<sup>1,2</sup>, Alexandra Serna<sup>1,2</sup>, Eric Cline<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Dreschel<sup>3</sup>, and Fred Sklar<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, Miami, FL

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth & Environment, Florida International University, Miami, FL



Okeechobe

<sup>3</sup>South Florida Water Management District, Everglades Systems Assessment Section, West Palm Beach, FL

### **Carbon, Climate Change, and the Florida Everglades**

- Increasing methane ( $CH_4$ ) and carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) emissions represent a global environmental issue.
- Wetlands (especially peatlands) are recognized as important components in the global carbon cycle, for both sequestration and emission potential.
- Over the last 130 years, land development and agriculture have severely impacted the Everglades.
- As part of restoration efforts, increasing water inputs to Everglades soils can stall decomposition and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, but increase CH<sub>4</sub> emissions.

**Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) and Global Warming Potential (GWP)** 



EXPRESSED AS PARTS PER BILLION (ppb)

#### **Methanogenesis in Wetlands Study Site** Flooded soils $\rightarrow$ saturation (pore spaces filled). Loxahatchee South Florida Impoundment Under anaerobic (low-oxygen) conditions, further Landscape decomposition leads to CH<sub>4</sub> production, as anaerobic microbes break down organic materials. Assessment (LILA) Large-scale N<sub>2</sub>O emission CH, emission physical model Elevation gradient important for flooding, and CH<sub>4</sub> production AMOUNT OF GAS IN ATMOSPHERE AS

**Objectives** 

Figure 1. LILA Hydrograph with Sampling Events



Fig. 3: CH<sub>4</sub> Concentrations Across Sites



- To quantify emission rates for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> under prolonged wet and dry conditions in a recreated, peat-based Everglades wetland with varying topographic features; tree island, ridge and slough.
- To determine the effects of water levels and elevation on overall CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- To compare  $CO_2/CH_4$  emission ratios across five main Everglades landscape components.

### Hypotheses

- Areas of lower elevation and therefore higher water levels over longer periods – were predicted to exhibit the highest concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> (as anaerobic conditions lead to CH<sub>4</sub> production).
- The highest CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were expected to occur at the highest elevations, which experience the least flooding (and the greatest exposure).
- $CO_2/CH_4$  ratios were likewise hypothesized to be highest at higher elevations.







Fig. 4: CO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Across Sites

Fig. 5:  $CH_4/CO_2$  Ratios, with GWP markers

Fig. 6: Average CO<sub>2</sub> Efflux Rates (LICOR)

35

17

**GWP:**  $CH_4$  72x more effective than  $CO_2$  (20 years)





#### Date

#### Discussion

#### **Fig. 7: Statistical Correlations**

Statistical Correlations (Pearson 2-tailed) N = 271			
	LILA Stage	Inundation (cm)	Days Flooded
Redox (mV)	405***	168 <sup>**</sup>	.218 <sup>**</sup>
	.000	.006	.000
CH4 umol L-1	.425**	.376 <sup>**</sup>	.047
	.000	.000	.445
CO2 mmol L-1	.420***	.205 <sup>**</sup>	<b>1</b> 26 <sup>*</sup>
	.000	.001	.038
CO2/CH4 ratrio	440***	415 <sup>**</sup>	177**
	.000	.000	.003
*. Significant at the 0.05 level.**. **. Significant at the 0.01 leve			t the 0.01 level.

### Flooding influenced the production of both $CH_4$ and $CO_2$ in this re-created Everglades landscape.

- Significant differences were found primarily between the two end-points along the elevation gradient; between the Tree Island Head High and the Deep Slough sites (Figure 3).
- Flooding and drying had an inverse relationship with average CO<sub>2</sub> efflux rates (Figure 6).
- Stage (water levels), Inundation (depth of flooding), and Days Flooded correlated as expected with Redox Potentials,  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  concentrations, and  $CO_2/CH_4$  ratios (Figure 7).
- The average  $CO_2/CH_4$  ratio across all sites within a macrocosm: 22 (mol:mol). Given the GWP of each GHG,  $CH_4$  had a disproportionately greater impact than  $CO_2$ , for at least a 100-year span.



REFERENCES Charman, D.J., 2002. Peatlands and Environmental Change. John Wiley and Sons Limited, Chichester.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**



Dr. Tom Dreschel, Dr. René Price, and Dr. John Kominoski.

Thanks to Eric Cline and the South Florida Water

Management District, Dr. Alexandra Serna and Diana

Johnson with the FIU Freshwater Biogeochemistry lab.



Gorham, E. 1991. Role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. Ecol. Apps 1. Light, S.S., Dineen, J.W., 1997. Water Control in the Everglades: A Historical Perspective. In: Davis, S.M., Ogden, J.C., Eds. Everglades: the ecosystem and its restoration. Boca Raton (FL): St. Lucie Press. pp 47–84. McVoy, C.W., Said, W.P., Obeysekeran, J., Arman, J.V., Dreschel, T.W., 2011. Landscapes and Hydrology of the Pre-Drainage Everglades. Gainesville, FL: University Press of Florida. Reddy, K.R., & DeLaune, R.D., 2008. *Biogeochemistry of Wetlands*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 2014. Climate Change, Greenhouse Gas Emission Data. Retrieved from: http://epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/