

# Practical bone histomorphometry in mice

Reinhold G. Erben, M.D. D.V.M.

Department of Biomedical Sciences University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna

## **Purpose of histomorphometry**



Histomorphometry is always related to mechanistic questions or safety issues

- Bone structure
- Bone formation
- Bone resorption
- Bone mineralization
- Bone modeling and remodeling
- Osteocyte lacunae



## Age-related changes in the femur





 $\Rightarrow$  The distal femur is more suitable for histomorphometry than the proximal tibia in mice.

 $\Rightarrow$  Age-related osteopenia can make a sound analysis of cancellous bone impossible in the distal femoral metaphysis of aged mice.

## Age-related changes in vertebrae





#### $\Rightarrow$ Always take out the verts in aged mice! Use frontal sections.



## **Embedding methods**



## **Distal femur and vertebrae**

• MMA mixture suitable for histochemistry (Erben, J Histochem Cytochem 45:307,1997)

## **Cortical cross-sections & implants**

• Conventional MMA embedding (80% MMA, 20% dibutylphthalate, 3% benzoylperoxide)

More information: Erben & Glösmann (2019) Histomorphometry in Rodents. Methods Mol Biol 1914:411-435

## **Routine stains**



## **Distal femur and verts**

- Von Kossa/McNeal's tetrachrome
- TRAP staining
- (Cement line stain)

## **Microground cortical bone cross-sections&implants**

• Toluidine blue

## How to measure structural parameters





#### **Primary measurements**

- **Tissue area**
- Bone area
- **Bone perimeter**
- Number of structural elements



Distance from growth plate: Femur: 500 µm in 3 - 4-week-old mice, 250  $\mu$ m in mice  $\geq$  2 mo of age

Vertebrae: 250 µm

 $\rightarrow$  Histomorphometry expresses in numbers that what you see

## How to measure turnover parameters







Distance from growth plate: 250 µm typically 500 µm in young, fast-growing mice Measurement at x200 or x400

## **Bone turnover. Bone formation**



#### Primary measurements

- Mineral apposition rate
- Mineralizing perimeter (double labeled perimeter or D.L.Pm + 0.5 \* S.L.Pm)

 Bone formation rate (BFR/B.Pm = BFR/BS)
Marker interval (cancellous bone formation!)
24 h in 3 – 4-wk-old mice
48 h in 8 – 12-wk-old mice

2 - 3 days in aged mice >5 mo



 $\rightarrow$  Allow for enough time (1 day) between last label and sampling!

 $\rightarrow$  More information: Erben RG (2003) Bone Labeling Techniques. In: Handbook of Histology Methods for Bone and Cartilage. An YH, Martin KL (eds) Humana Press Inc., Totowa, NJ, USA, pp 99 – 117

## Labeling escape error





 $\Rightarrow$  To minimize the labeling escape error, the marker interval should be less than about 1/5 of the formation period.

> From: Erben RG (2003) Bone Labeling Techniques. In: Handbook of Histology Methods for Bone and Cartilage. An YH, Martin KL (eds) Humana Press Inc., Totowa, NJ, USA, pp 99 – 117

Bone remodeling in mice



## **Murine vertebral cancellous bone**



## **Bone turnover. Bone formation**



Primary measurements

- Mineral apposition rate
- Mineralizing perimeter (double labeled perimeter)

Bone formation rate (BFR/B.Pm = BFR/BS)

# Marker interval (cancellous bone formation!)

24 h in 3 – 4-wk-old mice 48 h in 8 – 12-wk-old mice

2 - 3 days in aged mice >5 mo



## Bone resorption. TRAP staining





## **Bone turnover. Bone resorption**



Primary measurements (only nucleated cells in contact with bone are counted!)

- Osteoclast number (no./mm or no./mm<sup>2</sup>)
- Osteoclast perimeter (Oc.Pm/B.Pm, %)



## Bone turnover. Bone resorption





## Bone mineralization/formation Osteoid & Osteoblasts



Primary measurements in von Kossa/McNeal-stained sections

- Osteoid width (O.Wi, μm)
- Osteoid perimeter (O.Pm/B.Pm, %)
- Osteoid area (O.Ar/B.Ar, %)
- Osteoblast perimeter (Ob.Pm/B.Pm, %)



## **Modeling and Remodeling**

## Modeling

- Activation ⇒ Resorption, Activation ⇒ Formation
- Continuous process
- Induction of resorption and formation drifts in trabecular (mini-modeling) or cortical bone (macromodeling): ⇒ Always goes along with changes in shape!
- Fast: adapts a structure within days
- Function: dynamic adaptation mechanism to changes in biomechanical strain

#### Remodeling

- Activation ⇒ Resorption ⇒ Formation
- Cyclical process
- *Cortical bone:* leaves behind osteons. *Trabecular bone:* reconstitutes bone surface more or less in its original shape.
- Slow: takes weeks to months to complete
- Function: renewal mechanism in biomechanical steady state







## **Cancellous bone remodeling in mice?**





## **Remodeling-based parameters**



#### Primary measurements

- Bone perimeter
- Osteoid perimeter
- Eroded perimeter
- Wall width
- Wall width (W.Wi, μm)
- Resorption period (Rs.P, d)
- Formation period (FP, d)
- Remodeling period (Rm.P, d)
- Activation frequency (Ac.F, 1/y)

W.Wi  $\geq$  15 sites, 4 measurements per site



Active FP = W.Wi/MAR All other periods are calculated based on the length of the FP: ("fractions of space are equivalent to fractions of time", e.g., Rs.P = ES/OS \* FP Rm.P = Rs.P + FP Ac.F = 1/Tt.P = 1/(FP \* BS/OS)

## **Cortical bone remodeling?**



# Rabbit

#### Toluidine blue surface stain

Mouse



Mouse

## Analysis of cortical bone cross-sections vetmedun



Primary measurements

- Cortical bone area •
- **Cross-sectional area** .
- Marrow area ٠
- Periosteal perimeter
- **Endocortical perimeter** ٠
- **Cortical thickness** •
- Ps. and Ec. MAR + M.Pm



 $\Rightarrow$  The femoral midshaft is usually used for cortical bone analysis in mice.

Analysis of osteocytes



Primary measurements

- Osteocyte lacunar area (Ot.Lc.Ar, µm²) ٠
- Osteocyte number (Ot.N, no./mm<sup>2</sup>) •

Wildtype



## Take home messages



- Always take out vertebrae in aged mice.
- Consider gender differences in mouse experiments.
- For the quantification of osteoclasts always use TRAP staining.
- For the assessment of bone formation fluoro-chrome labeling with an appropriate marker interval is essential. Consider different marker intervals for cancellous and cortical bone.
- Mice lack true Haversian cortical bone remodeling, but not cancellous bone remodeling activity.

## More information



Erben & Glösmann (2019) Histomorphometry in Rodents. Methods Mol Biol 1914:411-435

Ma, Burr & Erben (2019) Bone histomorphometry in Rodents. In: Principles in Bone Biology. Bilezikean et al (eds), Elsevier, pp 1899-1922

<u>www.bonemorphometry.org</u> (members-only section)

Dempster DW et al. 2013 Standardized nomenclature, symbols, and units for bone histomorphometry: a 2012 update of the report of the ASBMR Histomorphometry Nomenclature Committee. J Bone Miner Res 28:2-17