# Nonlinearities in Assisted Succession to Suppress Reed Canarygrass: a 16-Year Restoration Experiment



### **Steve Hovick**

Dept. of Evolution, Ecology & Organismal Biology Ohio State University

Kattia Palacio-Lopez<sup>\*</sup>, Kali Mattingly, Leah Weston, Nate Hofford, Logan Finley, Aaron Tayal, and James Reinartz<sup>\*\*</sup>





Invasion Science Research Symposium May 8, 2024 Forthcoming paper in *J Applied Ecology*: Palacio-Lopez et al. in press

# Assisted Succession

- Interventions that re-establish a stalled successional trajectory (i.e., arrested succession).
- Entails seeding or planting later-successional species to jump-start their establishment.
- Should be a cost-effective restoration strategy.
- Especially relevant when invader dominance hinders forest regeneration.
  - Particularly when the invader is shade-intolerant.



Our system: Swamp forest regeneration suppressed by the wetland invader reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*)

## Reed canarygrass (RCG)

- Invasive wetland grass.
- Diverse genetically and morphologically.
- Grows in high-density stands.
- Highly competitive.
- Tolerates a wide range of conditions, varying in sedimentation, soil nutrients.
- Does NOT tolerate shade conditions.



## Long-term restoration of invaded sites via assisted succession.



•

٠

The nature of the relationships between <u>intervention intensity</u>, <u>invader abundance</u>, and <u>invader impacts</u> are important for accurate expectations regarding restoration outcomes.





Invader abundance (IA)

The nature of the relationships between <u>intervention intensity</u>, <u>invader abundance</u>, and <u>invader impacts</u> are important for accurate expectations regarding restoration outcomes.





The nature of the relationships between <u>intervention intensity</u>, <u>invader abundance</u>, and <u>invader impacts</u> are important for accurate expectations regarding restoration outcomes.



7



#### Experimental design:

1. Pre-planting treatments:

Field site in SE Wisconsin

50 plots with pre-planting treatments (all herbicide fall 2002):

- (HM) herbicide + plow
- (HB) herbicide + burn
- (HM) mow + herbicide
- (H) herbicide-only
- (C) control: untreated *Phalaris*





### 2. Planting woody species (spring 2003)

23 tree and shrub species planted at high densities (~1 m<sup>-2</sup>).

3. Field survey 1 year post-planting (summer 2004)

Determine early survival across all woody species.

**4. Field survey 16 years post-planting (summer 2019)** Determine tree and shrub abundance (canopy, saplings, seedlings); groundcover; light availability.

#### Experimental design:

1. Pre-planting treatments:

Field site in SE Wisconsin

50 plots with pre-planting treatments (all herbicide fall 2002):

(HM) – herbicide + plow

# Key questions of interest:

1) Did it work?





### 2. Planting woody species (spring 2003)

2) What are the critical thresholds that might enable/limit restoration success?

Determine early survival across all woody species.

4. Field survey 16 years post-planting (summer 2019)

Determine tree and shrub abundance (canopy, saplings, seedlings); groundcover; light availability.

## Results of field survey 1 year post-planting:

- Low survival in control plots.
- Pre-planting herbicide treatment enabled moderate survival for many species (10 spp. with survival ≥50%).



Hovick, S. M., & Reinartz, J. A. (2007). Restoring forest in wetlands dominated by reed canarygrass: The effects of pre-planting treatments on early survival of planted stock. *Wetlands*, *27*(1), 24–39.

### Results of field survey 16 years post-planting:

Overstory:

All species surviving in 2004 were found in 2019.



Palacio-Lopez et al. in press 11

A reduction in light availability reduced *Phalaris* cover without differences among the pre-planting treatments.





Our data predict a reduction in light availability of ~35% to achieve 50% *Phalaris* cover ~17% to achieve 25% ~8% to achieve 10%

Reduction in *Phalaris* cover occurs via woody overstory establishment (decreasing light availability).



Overstory densities of ~0.07 m<sup>-2</sup> (~700/ha) needed to achieve 50% *Phalaris* cover, or ~0.33 m<sup>-2</sup> (3300/ha) to achieve 25% *Phalaris* 

Reduction in *Phalaris* cover occurs via woody overstory establishment (decreasing light availability).



Overstory densities of ~0.07 m<sup>-2</sup> (~700/ha) needed to achieve 50% *Phalaris* cover, or ~0.33 m<sup>-2</sup> (3300/ha) to achieve 25% *Phalaris* 

*Phalaris* cover negatively correlated with non-*Phalaris* cover and sapling densities.



### Conclusions



- Establishing a dense canopy of woody species can enable ecosystem recovery, via re-establishment of pre-invasion feedbacks.
  - <u>Late fall herbicide application</u> suppressed *Phalaris* long enough that a dense canopy of native woody species could establish (see also Reinhardt Adams & Galatowitsch 2008).
  - Re-invasion by *Phalaris* in planted areas seems unlikely due to native species regeneration.
- Nonlinearities highlight the need to establish dense canopies and reduce *Phalaris* to low abundances (and probably also other invasive grasses in similar contexts)
- For similar restoration projects, we recommend planting the following species: Nannyberry, tamarack, aspen, American elm, Bebb's willow, elderberry, green/black ash.

## Thank you!



# Questions?



