A Comparison of the Benefits of Northern and Southern Everglades Storage

Rajendra Paudel, Ph.D. Thomas Van Lent, Ph.D. The Everglades Foundation



The Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP)

- U.S. Army Corps and South Florida Water Management District developed CERP
- Authorized in 2000 by Florida Legislature and by Congress (WRDA 2000)
- 68 individual projects each requires authorization and appropriations
- Key components: water storage, remove barriers to flow, maintain flood protection and water supply, increase water delivery



Prioritization

The Legacy Florida Act States:

"The Department of Environmental Protection and the South Florida Water Management District shall give preference to those Everglades restoration projects that reduce harmful discharges of water from Lake Okeechobee to the St. Lucie or Caloosahatchee estuaries in a timely manner."

<u>Goal</u>: to get some objective information that should help determine the proper prioritization of projects based on the new Legacy Florida mandate.



Northern Everglades and EAA Storage

- North Storage Reservoir
 - 200,000 acre-ft storage
 - CERP Component A
- Everglades Agricultural Area Storage Reservoir
 - 360,000 acre-ft
 - CERP Component G
- Storage capacity is based on the official CERP project description



Hydrologic Modeling

- The South Florida Water Management Model (SFWMM) is a physically-based, integrated surface water-groundwater model
- 2 mile x 2 mile grid size (known as "2x2 Model")
- Climatic data from 1965 to 2000
- Simulates major components of hydrologic cycles in South Florida as well as operational criteria
- 2x2 Model was used to develop CERP



Scenarios Description

- 1. <u>Existing Condition Base</u> (ECB): current C&SF infrastructure and operating rules
- 2. <u>Northern Reservoir</u> (NSR): exactly the same as the Existing Condition Base, but with the addition of the North of Lake Okeechobee Storage Reservoir
- 3. <u>EAA Reservoir Shallow</u> (SSR_Shallow): exactly the same as the Existing Condition Base, but with the addition of the EAA Reservoir (CERP Component G)
- 4. <u>EAA Reservoir Deep</u> (SSR_Deep): same as the "SSR_Shallow" but with deep EAA Reservoir (30,000-acre footprint and 12 ft maximum depth)



Lake Okeechobee Operational Triggers

17.5 HIGH LAKE MANAGEMENT BAND High 16.5 Intermediate Upper 15. Middle 14 low Lower Lake_stage_within 1 ft. of Intermediate Elevation (feet, NGVD) 13.5 Ba<u>se F</u>low 12.5 **Beneficial Use OPERATIONAL BAND** 11.5 10.5 WATER SHORTAGE MANAGEMENT BAND Below this line water is released from NSR 9.5 8.5 Jan Feb Apr May Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Mar Jun Dec



2008 LORS

2008 LORS

Part C: Establish Allowable Lake Okeechobee Releases to the Water Conservation Areas



Results: Lake Okeechobee Performance



	ECB	NSR	SSR_Shallow	SSR_Deep
Number of days > 15.5 ft	1133	1023	626	634
% reduction	-	9.7%	44.7%	44.0%



Results: Lake Okeechobee Outflows

Mean Annual Flood Control Releases from Lake Okeechobee for the 36 ys (1965 - 2000) Simulation Releases to North Storage EAA Storage ■ Water Conservation Areas ■ Reg. Releases to Caloosahatchee Estuary ■ Reg. Releases to St. Lucie Estuary Volume (1000 acre-ft/year) ■L8 to Tide ECB NSR SSR_Shallow SSR_Deep



Regional Simulation Model for Basins (RSM-BN)

- Link-node application of Regional Simulation Model
- Model covers northern basins, Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie river watersheds, EAA and STAs
- Climatic data from 1965 2005
- Used in the Central Everglades Project



http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/Missions/Environment Ecosystem-Restoration/Central-Everglades-Planning Project/

Comparisons with RSM-BN Simulations



	ECB	NSR	SSR_Deep
Number of days > 15.5 ft	1628	1428	798
% reduction	-	12.3%	51.0%





Comparisons with RSM-BN Simulations



Why EAA Reservoir Outperforms Northern Reservoir?



Southward Flow across Tamiami Trail



Southwestward flow in Central Shark River Slough



Depth Duration Curves







Depth Duration Curves (Contd ...)







Summary

- EAA reservoir reduced the harmful discharges from lake Okeechobee to the estuaries nearly by 50% while the Northern Reservoir reduced the volume of harmful discharges by 6%.
- Northern Reservoir provided more water supply benefits to the lake.
- EAA reservoir increased dry season flows in Central Shark River Slough by 35% compared to no changes in flows with Northern Reservoir.
- Deep reservoir in EAA provided additional dry season flows (13,000 ac-ft/year) across Tamiami Trail compared to the shallow reservoir with the same storage capacity.

Thank You!

Contact: Rajendra Paudel Hydrologist, Everglades Foundation Email: rpaudel@evergladesfoundation.org

