

Development of Inferential Sensors for Real-time Quality Control of Waterlevel Data for the EDEN Network

Paul Conrads SC Water Science Center GEER 2008 – Naples, FL July 30, 2008

Presentation Outline

- What is a "Inferential Sensor"?
- Background
 - Industrial application
 - Homeland security
- EDEN Network
- Water-level Inferential Sensor
- Challenges

Tough Environment to Monitor

Emissions regulations require measurements of effluent gases Smoke stack burns up probes Need alternative to "hard" sensors

Hard Sensor vs. Inferential Sensor



- Virtual sensor replaces actual sensor
 - Temporary gage smoke stack
 - Operate industry to cover range of emissions
 - Develop model of emissions based on operations
 - Model becomes the "Inferential Sensor"

Industrial Application: Production Emission Monitoring System



Production Control System Components. A Data Historian is a special database designed to hold massive amounts of process and laboratory time series data.

Production Emission Model



Predicted Emissions History for a Manufactured Product.

Inferential Sensor Architecture



PEMS Architecture

Industrial Benefits

- Optimizes Manufacturing Processes
- Documents Continuous Compliance
- Lower Cost In some situations, a proactive intelligent system can partially or fully replace passive back end controls to reduce capital and long-term operating expenses
- Most Advantageous Permitting because it actively prevents pollution
- Works with Existing or New Production Controls

If it is good enough for Industry...

- Use similar approach for real-time data
- Develop models to predict real-time data
- Use predictions as "inferential-sensor" to:
 >QA/QC hard sensor
 - Provide accurate estimates for hard sensor
 - Provide redundant signal

Everglades



Differences of 1 ft can change vegetation communities

EDEN Water-Surface Map

Bad values creates erroneous areas on maps



Problem

Need to minimize missing and erroneous data

Approach

Develop "inferential" sensors for redundant signal



Hypothetical Case



Create model (Inferential Sensor) for Site B using Site A as an input

Decide when to use Inferential Sensor instead of gage data

Actual application would be for 253 stations

Hypothetical Case: Gage Data



Hypothetical Case: Inferential Sensor



When to use Inferential Sensor? 95th confidence interval of model



When to use Inferential Sensor? Constant distance from inferential sensor



Hypothetical Case - comments

- Issue of model accuracy
- Immediate benefit for missing data
- Made the assumption that Site A was correct
- Example used daily data
 Use inferential sensor on hourly data
 Compare daily medians (used for EDEN maps)
 What if data for Site A is missing?
- Issues are magnified when dealing with a network of 253 gages

Initial Approach: Data Evaluation

- Need to know what data is good
- Set of filters to evaluate data quality
- Robust series of thresholds
 - Differences with other gages
 - Time delays and moving window averages
 - Time derivatives
 - Rate of change over various time intervals
- Create subset of good data

Initial Approach: Model Development

One Approach – Canned Models

- Create multiple models for a gage
- Set priority for model to use depending on available data
- Large number of models
- Not all combinations of gages would be addressed

Initial Approach: Model Development

 Second Approach – Model on the Fly
 Subset of good data, determine input data with the highest correlation
 Develop models based on available data
 Issue of evaluation of models
 More complex programming than first approach



Summary

Inferential Sensor may provide an approach for:

- Real-time QA/QC
- Redundant signal

Challenges

- Identifying good data
- **Highly accurate models**
- Managing number of models

Develop prototype for EDEN
 Stay tuned

