Aquatic Fauna as Indicators for Everglades Restoration: Applying Dynamic Targets in Assessments for CERP-MAP

Joel Trexler and Charles Goss

Department of Biological Sciences Florida International University





http://www.fiu.edu/~trexlerj/publications.htm

Everglades Restoration Science Strategy and Dynamic Targets

 Assessment Environmental Societal Goals and requires a target Drivers/Stressors Objectives Values Controlled Beyond Control by bv Managers Managers Conceptual Targets need to adjust Ecological Models **Example Rainfall** for factors affecting Performance performance Alternative Monitoring Measures Plan Plan and measures but out of **Evaluations** Assessments Comprehensive the control of Conceptual Plan Adaptive links managers Management Statistical Restoration - Example: Inter-annual models Actions variation in rainfall Analytical models

Monitoring Aquatic Fauna

- Aquatic fauna are monitored because of their role linking environmental drivers controlled by management and wading birds
- Annual or semi-annual life cycles yield realtime responses to management



Data for Assessment Six Performance Measures

- Four species selected as Performance Measures to represent different life histories related to effects of marsh drying
- Total fish as a measure of fish availability for higher trophic levels
- Frequency of non-native fish species



Hydrological PMs

- Recover slowly (years), effected by local drying - bluefin killifish
- Recover quickly (months), decline as site remains flooded – flagfish
- Recover quickly (months), effected by local and regional drying – eastern mosquitofish
- Not effected by short drying events, average depth past 6 months, regional drying – Everglades crayfish





Assessing Impacts of Hydrological Management

models to predict fish density

• Identify goals for hydrological management

- Baseline period: Jan 1993 - Nov 1999

- Assessment period: Dec 1999 2006
- Can we detect an effect of hydrological operations on biological indicators beyond rainfall-driven hydrological variation?
 - > Residual effects = (Old operating + rainfall) (New operating + rainfall)

Steps for Assessment

- Select Performance Measures and report temporal pattern 1995 – 2006
- Model water depth from rainfall during baseline period (1993 1999)



- Project water depths for assessment period (late 99 - 2006) under old operating rules
- Model PM from hydrology
- Project PM during assessment period from for projected hydrology
- Compare projected PM values to observed



Hydrological Forecasting Model



Data for Assessment

- Inter-annual variability in 'baseline period' needs to span range in assessment
- If not, predictions (targets) will be extrapolations beyond observed conditions



Depth vs. Cumulative Rain (Before Period)







Observed and Predicted Hydrology



SRS



Please see the poster by Goss and Trexler for more details on these models

Examples of PMs









Criteria for Red Stoplights

- Type A: one year at least three standard errors above/below limits of objective interval
- Type B: two out of three consecutive years at least two standard errors above/below limits of objective interval
- Type C: four out of five consecutive years with at least 1.5 standard errors above/below limits of objective interval



Bluefish Killifish Fish

- Model Prediction (Observed Hydrology)
 - Model Prediction (Projected Hydrology)
- End of baseline period

Observed





Stoplight Annual Assessments

Performance Measure	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Current status
Shark River Slough							
eastern mosquitofish	0		\bigcirc			\bigcirc	\bigcirc
flagfish	•		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	•	\bigcirc	0
bluefin killifish		\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
total fish		\bigcirc					
Everglades crayfish		\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Non-native fishes	\bigcirc						
Taylor Slough							
eastern mosquitofish		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
flagfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
bluefin killifish		\bigcirc					
total fish		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Everglades crayfish		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Non-native fishes	\bigcirc						

Stoplight Annual Assessments

2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Current status
	0	0			\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	•
	\bigcirc	0		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
\bigcirc	\bigcirc					
	\bigcirc	•	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
\bigcirc	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\bigcirc	\bigcirc					
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	2000	2000 2001 • •	2000 2001 2002 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • <td>2000 2001 2002 2003 • · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · · • · · · · · · • · · · · · · · · • ·</td> <td>2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 • · · · · · • · · · · · · • · · · · · · · • · · · · · · · · • ·</td> <td>2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • <t< td=""></t<></td>	2000 2001 2002 2003 • · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · • · · · · · • · · · · · · • · · · · · · · · • ·	2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 • · · · · · • · · · · · · • · · · · · · · • · · · · · · · · • ·	2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • <t< td=""></t<>

Total Fish



Total Fish



Future Assessments Using CERP MAP

Illustration

PM: Total Fish 2005

- Goal: Experimental NSM for 2005 rainfall
- Target: Shark River Slough ecological model



Summary and Conclusions

- Assessment involves comparing monitoring data for performance measures to targets
- We recommend use of 'dynamic targets' for assessments in CERP when possible
 - Dynamic targets are adjusted for environmental variation outside the controls of managers
- Rainfall is a key environmental driver outside of the control of managers that effects hydrological conditions critical to aquatic fauna
- Hydrological Models for assessment (those routinely updated with contemporary rainfall) are currently lacking
- 'Getting the water right' should be captured in one or more hydrological models that can be used as standards for assessments

Acknowledgments

- Cooperative Agreements from the Everglades National Park and Jeff Kline
- CERP MAP program contract and Jana Newman
- Thanks to Bob Doren for including us in the Restoration Indicator Program



http://www.fiu.edu/~trexlerj/publications.htm