# A conservation genetic assessment of the Florida snail kite



Ellen Robertson Rob Fletcher Jim Austin





### Conservation genetics

- Genetic structure
  - delineating management units
- Genetic diversity
  - indicator for potential genetic problems
    - inbreeding
    - reduced evolutionary potential





### Florida: potential for genetic changes:



#### Snail kites (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus)





## The monitoring program

Standardized mark-resight surveys and nest finding across the geographic range





## Movements are fairly frequent and widespread but still potentially structured



Fletcher et al. 2013



Fletcher et al. 2015

## Movements are fairly frequent and widespread but still potentially structured

Movement



### dispersal + successful reproduction





### Effective dispersal:

## dispersal + successful reproduction





## Reproduction following movement is less frequent and less widespread



## Reproduction following movement is less frequent and less widespread



## Is there genetic structure in Florida snail kites?

- Developed microsatellite markers:
  - 235 feather samples (from nestlings)
  - 2013 (N = 114) and 2014 (N = 121)
  - 14 wetlands across the breeding range





## 15 microsatellite markers

- HWE
- Linkage equilibrium
- Checked for null alleles, allelic dropout
- Genotyping error
  - Repeated PCR and genotyping on 19% of samples



## Spatial analyses:

- Isolation by distance
  - Mantel test
- Structure
  - Program Structure
  - Geneland
    - spatial coordinates as a prior
  - Ordination
    - Spatial Principal Component Analysis (sPCA)
    - Memgene
  - Spatial autocorrelation

#### No evidence for genetic isolation by distance



#### No evidence for genetic structure



#### Low genetic diversity relative to other rare raptors

Species	IUCN Status	A	Ar	N (for Ar)	Не
snail kites	least concern	2.5	2.28-2.61	8-60	0.37
northern goshawk	least concern	10.4	NA	NA	0.81
bearded vulture	near threatened	4.3-6.0	NA	NA	0.54-0.68
common kestrel	least concern	4.8-7.8	4.5-5.0	14-28	0.63-0.71
peregrine falcon	least concern	4.25	NA	NA	0.51
Spanish imperial	vulnerable	4.9	4.9	10-20	0.55
Egyptian vultures	endangered	NA	2.4-3.0	143-242	0.44-0.56
golden eagle	least concern	4.62	NA	NA	0.49
mountain hawk-eagle	least concern	3.67	NA	NA	0.56



#### Conclusions and future research

STAY BAC

- Snail kites are genetically panmictic
  - Should monitor and manage as a single population
    - Management in one location can have implications across the range



#### Conclusions and future research

STAY BAC

- Snail kites are genetically panmictic
  - Should monitor and manage as a single population
    - Management in one location can have implications across the range
- Snail kites have relatively low genetic diversity
  - USFWS Species-level Recovery Action
  - Fitness consequences?



#### Acknowledgments

Monitoring design and implementation: Wiley Kitchens, Rob Bennetts, Vicky Dreitz, Don DeAngelis, James Nichols, Bill Kendall, Jim Hines, FL Coop Unit Banding: Rob Bennetts, Vicky Dreitz, Julien Martin, Steve Beissinger, Ken Meyer, and Jim Rodgers. Funding: U.S. Army Corps, Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission, U.S.G.S., USFWS, St. John's Water Management District, South Florida Water Management District

#### Why the disconnect between dispersal and genetics?

- These are different metrics:
  - can be time lags (ecological vs evolutionary time scales)
  - only a small amount of dispersal can result in low genetic differentiation
- Genetic structure is also affected by effective population size (N<sub>e</sub>) through genetic drift
- Complicated system that is likely not at equilibrium
  - a possible bottleneck in mid-1900s
  - recent range expansion (with exotic snail)

#### Potential drivers of reduced effective dispersal?

