Herbert Wertheim **College of Engineering UNIVERSITY** of FLORIDA



Introduction

High quantities of concrete, metal, and plastic are currently used in living shorelines, resulting in adverse environmental impacts. This study aimed to identify and compare the lifecycle impacts of conventional and alternative materials.

We reviewed **96** peer-reviewed articles describing full-scale and experimental living shorelines projects where materials used were mentioned.

| Ма | terial | Application | Ecosystem Type | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Cor | ncrete | Settling surface Breakwater Stabilization/anchoring Vegetation establishment | AND AND OT | | | | |
| Pla. | stic | Settling surface Stabilization/anchoring Breakwater Sediment stabilization Vegetation establishment Nursery structure Site delineation | | | | | |
| Me | tal | Settling structureStabilization/anchoringBreakwater | | | | | |
| Nat | tural Fiber | Settling surface Stabilization/anchoring Vegetation establishment | | | | | |
| Oys | ster shell | Settling surface Breakwater Sediment stabilization Vegetation establishment | | | | | |
| Wo | od | Sediment stabilizationBreakwater | | | | | |
| Roc | ck | Settling surface Breakwater Sediment stabilization Settling surface | | | | | |
| Bio | plastic | Settling surface Sediment stabilization Vegetation establishment Breakwater Stabilization/anchoring | | | | | |
| Alte con | ernative ncrete | Breakwater Settling surface Sediment stabilization⁸³ | | | | | |

Materials Reviewed





Thank you to the NSF for funding this research through the GRFP (award #1650114) and to the USACE Engineering With Nature program.

Living in a Material World: Support for Natural & Alternative Materials in Living Shorelines Adrian Sakr¹ & Andrew Altieri¹

¹University of Florida, Engineering School for Sustainable Infrastructure & Environment • adriansakr@ufl.edu

Material Prevalence



Material prevalence is presented as the proportion of studies in our review using each material.

Financial Cost vs. CO₂ Emissions



Additional Considerations

Lifespan

Degradation is sometimes a desirable material characteristic following foundation species establishment. However, it is dependent on environmental conditions such as wave energy and foundation species establishment rates.

Sourcing

Using local sourcing, including the use of recycled materials, most reduces impact for natural materials.



| Cost (USD) per kg of material | Index Value |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| 0 to 0.01 | 1 |
| 0.01 to 0.10 | 2 |
| 0.1 to 1.0 | 3 |
| 1.0 to 10.0 | 4 |
| 10.0 to 100.0 | 5 |

| material from production | Index Value |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| 0 to 0.5 | 1 |
| 0.5 to 1.0 | 2 |
| 1.0 to 1.5 | 3 |
| 1.5 to 2.0 | 4 |
| 2.0 to 2.5 | 5 |

| | Life Cycle Stage | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | Production | | | Transportation/Deployment | | Degradation | |
| Γ | Material | Resource Consumption | Waste Production | CO ₂ Emission | Transportation | Deployment | Physical Impacts | Chemical Impacts |
| | Plastic | | | | | | | |
| | Metal | | | | | | | |
| | Concrete | | | | | | | |
| pact | Bio-based/ Biodegradable plastics | | | | | | | |
| E | Alternative Concrete | | | | | | | |
| Ī | Rock | | | | | | | |
| inin | Wood | | | | | | | |
| Nat | Oyster Shell | | | | | | | |
| | Natural Fibers | | | | | | | |



Examples of material and structure replacement approaches are presented for select ecosystem applications.





Life Cycle Impacts

Low Impact

Impacts are presented as the relative magnitude of adverse environmental effects from each life cycle stage.

Material Replacement