### Integrating Ecosystem Services into USFS Policy and Operations

Robert Deal, Tommie Herbert, Jonas Epstein, Nikola Smith NESST core team, US Forest Service





### **Overview**

USFS Planning rule, Memorandum and NESST.

> E.S. Champions Forum and NESST.

- New NESST General Technical Report.
- > Planning, performance and partnerships.
- > Ongoing efforts.



### **Ecosystem Services on Public Lands**

> 2012 Forest Service Planning Rule

Ecosystem Services into Federal Decision Making (OMB, CEQ Directive)

NESST- National Ecosystem Services Strategy Team



### **USFS Planning Rule**

- Ecosystem services and multiple uses "considering a full range of resources, uses and benefits"
- > MUSYA- timber, water, recreation, range, wildlife & fish.
- Early adopter forests are using Planning Rule for forest plan revisions and assessments.
- 2015 Directives state the N.F. should include "key ecosystem services" in forest plan revisions.
- E.S. also includes cultural heritage values, other services not included in multiple use.



### Incorporating Ecosystem Services into Federal Decision Making

- > October, 2015 CEQ, OMB Directive.
- Directs agencies to develop and institutionalize policies for ecosystem services in planning, investment and regulatory context.
- Each agency developing work plan due March, 2016.
- Implementation guidance, CEQ convening subject matter experts for "community of practice" concept.



### NESST- National Ecosystem Services Strategy Team

Robert Deal, Emily Weidner, Mary Snieckus, Tommie Herbert, Jonas Epstein, Krista Gebert, Tania Ellersick, Greg Arthaud, Nikola Smith, many others



## **Historical Context**

### Ecosystem Services <u>Champions</u> <u>Forum</u>

- Two day grassroots effort in 2012 with NFS, R&D and S&PF
- Champions Forum led to four major objectives-framework
- Develop common language & understanding of ES
- Relevance of the ES to agency
- BMP, tools for planning, mgmt.
- Better communication about ES across FS Deputy Areas
- Framework for the agency



## **Historical Context**

### Ecosystem Services Framework for Agency

- Associate Deputy Chiefs directed Champions group on different path
- Instead of framework, wanted to develop policy for agency for incorporating ecosystem services into FS programs and operations.
- Led directly to chartering of National Ecosystem Services Strategy Team (NESST)
- NESST charter in 2013, 2016



## **NESST** Purpose

"The National Ecosystem Services Strategy Team was established to collaboratively develop national strategy and policy around ecosystem services and integrate it into Forest Service programs and operations."



# **OPPORTUNITIES**

- Consider a broad suite of ecosystem services in decision-making and priority setting
- Quantify and communicate in terms of benefits to people through measurement and reporting
- Connect providers and beneficiaries of ecosystem services through partnerships and investments



# **Key Contributors**

- Greg Arthaud <u>garthaud@fs.fed.us</u> (R&D)
- Robert Deal <u>rdeal@fs.fed.us</u> (R&D)
- Tania Ellersick <u>tmellersick@fs.fed.us</u> (NFS)
- Jonas Epstein jonaskepstein@fs.fed.us (NFS)
- Lisa Fong <a href="mailto:lisa">Ifong@fs.fed.us</a> (S&PF)
- Claire Harper <u>claireharper@fs.fed.us</u> (SPF)
- Tommie Herbert <u>catherinetherbert@fs.fed.us</u> (S&PF)
- Nikola Smith <a href="mailto:nmsmith@fs.fed.us">nmsmith@fs.fed.us</a> (NFS/S&PF)
- Mary Snieckus <u>marysnieckus@fs.fed.us</u> (SPF)
- Erin Phelps <a href="mailto:ephelps@fs.fed.us">ephelps@fs.fed.us</a> (NFS)
- Emily Weidner <u>eweidner@fs.fed.us</u> (NFS)



- Introduction
- Ecosystem Services and USFS
- Elements of an Ecosystem Services Approach
  - Decision-Making and Analysis
  - Measuring, Reporting, Communicating
  - Partnerships and shared investments in ES
- Synthesis
  - Common Needs
- Next Steps

### Integrating Ecosystem Services Into National Forest Service Policy and Operations



http://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/publications/gtrs.shtml

# **The Opportunities**

Planning: Consider a broad suite of ecosystem services in decision-making and priority-setting

Performance: Quantify and communicate in terms of benefits to people through measurement and reporting

Partnerships: Connect providers and beneficiaries of ecosystem services through partnerships and investments

# Planning

Considering the full suite of objectives in analysis, decision-making and priority-setting

- Forest Planning
- Project Level Planning
- Prioritizing Restoration Activitie
- State Forest Action Plans



Example:

*Cool Soda All-Lands Restoration Proposal* Willamette, Sweet Home Ranger District



### Project-Level Planning

Assess project-level impacts using a collaborative approach

Solicit values and priorities from local communities

Highlight potential outcomes of actions



## **Ongoing Development**

Landscape-level planning and ecosystem services benefits analysis is an important component to following the mitigation hierarchy and developing compensatory mitigation partnerships.

#### Mitigation Success Story Francis Marion Sumler NF Conservation Land Use Agreement

The Francis Marion and Sumter National Forests' recent experience with compensatory mitigation provides a valuable on-the-ground look at the benefit of partnerships and how a mitigation framework might be implemented within the Forest Service.

In 2013, the US Army Corps of Engineers for the Charleston District (Corps) and the Francis Marion and Sumter National Forests (Forest) entered into a Conservation Land Use Agreement (Agreement) to establish a framework whereby compensatory mitigation requirements associated with the Corps) permitting requirements could be used to restore or enhance aquatic resources on Forest lands or to contribute suitable resources to the NFS. This innovative agreement has since been used many times, across a variety of project types, helping to accomplish restoration benefiting of Forest watersheds.

#### The Basics

- Location: Charleston, South Carolina area
- · Partners involved:
  - USDA FS
  - US Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District
     Private permittees, including Boeing, Duke Energy,
  - Private permittees, including Boeing, Duk and the City of Charleston
  - NGO's: The Nature Conservancy and the Open Space Institute
- Mitigation authority used: Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Corps requires compensation for unavoidable impacts to United States waters, including wetlands.
- Mechanism employed: Conservation Land Use Agreement, signed in July 2013 with the US Army Corps of Engineers for the Charleston District and the Francis Marion and Sumter National Forests
- Resource impacted & why: wetlands impacted by Duke Energy new power plant, Boeing facility expansion, and the City of Charleston public works project.



Restored longleaf pine habitat on Francis Marian National Forest -Photo by Daniel Barcelana

"The Francis Marian National Forest is a national priority for conserving and restoring our native longleaf pine forests, which have been imperied by conturies of cutting and development. The Nature Conservancy is excited to partner with Boeing. Open Space Institute and other conservation groups to protect these important lands and waters."

Mark Robertson, South Carolina State Director, The Nature Conservancy.

## Performance

Quantifying and communicating the value of resources and impacts of management actions in terms of benefits to people

- National Assessments
- Performance Management
- Inventory Monitoring & Assessment



### **Example: National Assessments**



Increasing focus on geospatial tools to quantify benefits delivered to the public

Characterization of threat and justification for targeted restoration

## **Ongoing Development**

#### Used State Department of Agriculture USDA Forest Service Strategic Plan: FY 2015–2020

USDA

### GOALS AND OBJECTIVES SUMMARY

#### STRATEGIC GOAL

Sustain Our Nation's Forests and Grasslands Strategic Objective A. Foster resilient, adaptive ecosystems to mitigate climate change Strategic Objective B. Mitigate wildfire risk Strategic Objective C. Conserve open space

#### STRATEGIC GOAL

#### Deliver Benefits to the Public

Strategic Objective D. Provide abundant clean water Strategic Objective E. Strengthen communities Strategic Objective F. Connect people to the outdoors

#### STRATEGIC GOAL

#### Apply Knowledge Globally

Strategic Objective G. Advance knowledge Strategic Objective H. Transfer technology and applications Strategic Objective I. Exchange natural resource expertise

#### MANAGEMENT GOAL

Excel as a High-Performing Agency Management Objective A. Recruit a diverse workforce Management Objective B. Promote an inclusive culture Management Objective C. Attract and retain top employees

Forest Service Strategic Plen. FY 2015-202

#### Strategic Objective D - Provide Abundant Clean Water (DRAFT, Sept. 7, 2016)

Situation 15,000+ WCF Watersheds on NFS land	Program Activities and Tools	Stakeholders/ Participants	Indicators	Outcomes		
				Annual Performance Goal	Strategic Performance Goal	Long-Term Result
All classified as Class 1 (Functioning Property), Class 2 (Functioning all Resk), and Class 3 (Impaired Function) 207 designated as "priority watersheds" under the Vatershed Coodilion Framework Not all watershed improvement work is done in "priority watersheds."	Inventory, Assessment and Prioritization of Watersheds Education and Public Awareness Partnership Development and Coordination Best Management Practices Program Best Available Science	Local municipalities National and Regional Partners Foderal and State Agencies General Public Private landowners Tribal Governments	# Watersheds Classified 35 Watersheds Phontized 35 WRAP Plans Developed, Evaluated, Assessed, Monitored 35 of WRAP Plans Implemented # BMPs monitored	Number of WGF Priority Watersheds Moved to an improved Condition class Annually Number of all Watersheds (priority and non- priority) Maintained at Current Condition Class 1 or 2 Every 5 years (add mid/aem) Perf. goal column)	By 2020, 54% of National Forest and Grassland watersheds will be Functioning Property (Class 1) (Strategic Performance Goal Approved by FS, USDA, OME)	Watersheds on our Nation's Forests and Grasslands are in good condition, functioning as they should (Approved by FS in Strategic Performance Plan)

#### Assumptions

Implementing WRAPs in Priority Watersheds is a path to Asundant Clean Water Property functioning watershoets provide the full water of ecosystem senkces implementing a WRAP moves a watershoet to an ingroved condition class Capabilities and resources can be leveraged through pathesships Education, public awareness, and volunteering increases public stewardship of natural resources

 Availability of lectnical and budgetary resources may limit the number of watersheds that can be moved to an improved condition class

#### External Factors

Climate change, invasive species, and distarbance can affect watershed condition class even after a WRAP is implemented WRAP implementation can be affected by NLRS and ownership, project complexity, and cost. Necessary work in nonpriumly watersheds can affect WRAP implementation in priority watersheds.

#### Evaluation/Assessment

Project- and program-based monitoring and data collection, evaluation of results to track progress in activity identified outcomes (outcome-based performance measures), annual reporting cycles and metrics, alignment with Agency efforts and goals, constituent feedback. Per Watersteid Condition Framework, track restoration accomplishments, and monitor and writh that WRAP implementation improved watersteid functioning through Tier 1 and Tier 2 monitoring components.

# **Partnerships**

Connecting providers and beneficiaries of ecosystem services through partnerships and shared investments.

- Incentives for Private Landowners
- Partnerships for Shared Investments
- Damage Assessments
- Environmental Markets



### **Example: Watershed Investment Partnerships**

- Utilities
- Municipalities
- Multi-Sector/Water Funds
- Federal Agencies
- Corporations
- Consumers/ Communities

### VAILRESORTS

EXPERIENCE OF A LIFETIME"







### **Environmental Markets & Investments**

- Facilitate environmental markets by:
- creating enabling infrastructure,
- generating credits from NFS land, and
- reducing market barriers for private landowners





# **Ongoing Development**

- Bonding mechanisms for accelerated restoration
- Models for cross-boundary compensatory mitigation banking
- Carbon project development on lands with FS landowner assistance funding
- Bringing water funds to scale





RIO GRANDE WATER FUND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR WILDFIRE AND WATER SOURCE PROTECTION >> JULY 2014 -

# **Summary of Opportunities**

- Ecosystem services science can help us analyze trade offs between management decisions and plan for continued public benefits at the landscape scale
- Ecosystem services tools and methodologies can help us quantify and communicate the impacts of Forest Service management
- Ecosystem services concepts can help us to build partnerships that connect providers and beneficiaries and invite diverse stakeholders to share in our agency's mission

## Common Needs



# Next Steps

Resources & Infrastructure	Data Management	Communication	Policy & Guidance				
<ul> <li>New Sharepoint site to help practitioners across the agency connect, share, learn</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New ES tools portal on USDA website         <ul> <li>to be released in January</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue monthly webinars</li> <li>New Champions monthly forum for peer-to- peer sharing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Addressing authority for new financial mechanisms</li> <li>Technical guidance for quantification</li> </ul>				
General Technical Report National Ecosystem Services Action Plan (17-18)							

