



In partnership with ECOSYSTEM MARKETS Making Them Wo



Guidance for Users on Ecosystem Service Assessment

Paula Harrison, Diana Tuomasjukka, Heli Saarikoski and Marc Metzger with input from Mark Rounsevell, Claire Brown, George Cojocaru, Ben Delbaere, Eeva Furman, Paul Mahoney, Marta Perez Soba, Adrian Smith, James Paterson and many OpenNESS and OPERAs project partners



OpenNESS and OPERAs have received funding from the European Union's Seventh Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement No 308428 and 308393.



Oppla

A virtual hub where you will find the latest thinking on natural capital, ecosystem services and nature-based solutions



The purpose of Oppla is simple:



to help you **collaborate**, **innovate** and **communicate**

What are the benefits?

For new users:

- Understand what information is most relevant/useful
- Access that information as easily as possible
- Find people who can help

For experienced users:

- Share successes and increase impact
- Find new clients in emerging markets
- Find new partners for innovation and co-design









Simply ask a question and receive answers...

Ask Oppla to help you find the information you need...

ASK



Oppla is a **community** where you can connect and collaborate with others from across Europe







Browse and filter the knowledge base of the communities' case study experiences

Hover your mouse over a case study to read a short summary helping you to decide if you want to '*read more*'

> Read details of the case study and find out more via background information, or contact the persons involved

Operationalising ecosystem services for an adaptive management plan for the Lower Danube River, Romania

d and the second second

Objective

Enhancing the effectiveness of the integrated and adaptive management planning and its implementation in the area. This will be done by mainstreaming the improved understanding, using operational tools regarding the concepts of natural capital and ecosystem services.

Potential impacts and benefits

- Better understamling of the relationships between long term dynamics of the biophysical structure and functions of natural capital and the supplied ecosystem services.
- Maintaining and restoring of lengthalinal and lateral connectivity of Lower Dansles River Wetlands System, which is expected to recover conditions for migration, spawning and feeding of birth and fuber (s.g. sturgoon).
- Enhancing the stakeholders operational capacity to assess incosystem services.

Area characterisation

The area under study is a regional complex system covering the Romanian part of the Lower Danube Weltlands System. It includes the Danube River stryich, Jokes, wet meadows, alterial forests, arricultural

Keyword	
A CONT	
	INTRE ALL
Scale	
acale	-

- Spreadsheets method
 Oulckscan method
- Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
- · Contingent valuation
- · Bavesian Bellef Network
 -

Publications & reports

- Organisations
- Research Centry in Systems Ecology and Bostainsbilly
- Contact
- + Anja Liski (UEDIN)
- * Elena Preda
- + Ibelu Gluca

Case Studies

Marketplace

PRODUCTS A





mulino Decision Support System tool (mDSS)

The mDSS software is a generic indicator-based Decision Support System (DSS) developed to assist decision makers in the participatory management of environmental problems by applying several Multi-Criteria Analysis Methods and Group Decision Making. Specifically, it supports Decision/Policy Makers ...

Primary Language
 Quality Assurance
 Commercial







Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES)

Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) programmes have been increasingly established across the globe in the last few years. PES is a type of market-based instrument that is increasingly used to finance nature conservation. PES programmes allow for the translation of the ecosystem services (ES) that ...

- Primary Language
 - Quality Assurance
- Commercial



Search the marketplace

KEYWORDS

Choose keywords from the menus below and hit apply...

Ecosystems	^
Implementation	^
Methods	^
Regions	^
Topics	^
RESET	APPLY
SHARE/ADD PROD	оист 🕂





Guidance: The Ecosystem Service Assessment Support Tool (ESAST)

- A general framework for ecosystem service assessment in real-life management and decision-making situations, as well as ...
- A step-by-step guidance tool on how to carry out an ecosystem service assessment in different management and policy contexts
- Developed on the basis of experiences in the OpenNESS 27 place-based case studies as well as OPERAs 13 exemplars



Ecosystem Service Assessment Support Tool (ESAST)

Ecosystem service assessment is a process that is carried out in a close interaction with key stakeholders to ensure that they find the results reliable and relevant for decision-making.

Putting in practice

Policy instruments and best practice examples e.g. on nature based solutions in urban areas

Valuation

What is the importance of ES for people in monetary or nonmonetary terms, and what kind of meanings do people assign to ES, including intrinsic and relational values?



Biophysical assessment

What are the links between the ES and underlying ecosystem structures and processes and how do drivers of change influence the flow of ES?

Setting the scene

What is the purpose of the assessment, the decisionmaking context and who are the key actors to be involved in the assessment?

Identification of ES

What are the key ES and related benefits, and beneficiaries, in the issue at hand?



Step 1 Logo rotates to point at active step

0

0

Q&A for this step

Direct link to 'Ask Oppla' for this step

STEP 1. Setting the scene Van mij mag hier nog wei een subtitel komen met wat extra uitleg. BACK NECT Outcomes of this stage Relevant resources O Definition of the decision context. Decision support methos List of key stakeholders Mapping of social networks Structured description of the problem



tow can we exult that advocating nature based withtons will apped up classic nt? Answer here 1010 // south ex/continen/TI Ask a question and Ask Oppla community...



Links to relevant resources for the step

Ecosystem Services and Transdisciplinarity

Jennifer Hauck (UF2/D), Marian Potschin (UNOTT/UR), Sanna-Rilkka Saarela (SYRE, FIN), Esther Carmen (CEH, UR), Jan Dick (CEH, UR), Berta Martin-López (Leuphana University/D). Parter Belemen (ESSRG/HU), Hara Reuse

Bailed on the idea to make science more relevant to the solution of real-world problems, transdisciplinarity (TD) was established as a reflexive, integrative, method driven scientific principle aiming at the solution or transition of societal problems and concurrently of related scientific problems by differentiating and integrating knowledge from various scientific and societal bodies of knowledge (Lang et al., 2012). A key motivation for TD is to address the complexity inherent in many of these problems, as we cannot fully grasp all relevant complexity, we have to reduce complexity and to make choices, these choices are only to a (often very) limited extent oursis technical of scientific, thus involvement of societal reflections and actors and arguments is legitimate (Keune et al., 2015). Similar to related approaches such as post-normal science citizens science, participatory approaches (for definitions please see the OpenNESS glossary¹), TO goes beyond multi- and interdisciplinary research by co-creating knowledge with and for societal actors. Therefore the language, the concepts and the methodology used in TD are not solely scientific phenomena. but jointly produced in the interaction between science, eractice and onliny.

Influenced by Analo, American scientific debates in the 1960s and 1970s Jantsch (1972) was one of the first to use the term 'Transfluciplinarity' (TO). During the 1980s the term was introduced in the European scientific community by Mittetstraß (1992) as a type of research which crosses disciplinary borders and which is based on end meant to solve real world problems. A widely accepted conceptualisation of the transdisciplinary research approach is provided by Lang et al. (2012). This involves three collaborative

1) collaboratively frame the problem and build a collaborative recearch team (Phase A);

2) to-produce solution-oriented and transferable knowledge through collaborative research (Phase B); and 5) (ne-)integrate and apply the produced knowledge in both scientific and societal practice (Phase C).

TD reshapes some basic epistemological principles by extending the realms of knowledge and transforming the roles of academic and non-academic actors within the research process (Walter et al., 2007). Operating across theses traditional boundaries at the interface between science-policy-practice interface. Turnhout et al., 2009) developing a common understanding, targeted coromunication and relationship building are essential parts of this process (Carmen et al., 2015b). This kind of boundary work can be eased by boundary facilitators, i.e. individuals with certain skill sets to facilitate the flow of information and communication processes or boundary organizations. Boundary objects (Star and Griesemer, 1989, Clark et al., 2011) or boundary concepts (Mollings, 2010) can be beneficial for TD processes as well (for more detailed definitions of boundary terms please see the OpenNESS glossary"). The ecosystem service concept (Potischin and Haines-Young, 3014) can be considered a boundary concept, to the extent that it enables researchers from other disciplines, policy makers and other stakeholders to develop a common language (Hauck et al., 2013) and each can select knowledge relevant to their particular field (Jordan and Russel, 2014). The concept more and more brings together experts, policy representatives and other stakeholders in so-called Ecosystem Services Communities of Practice (CoP). An ES-CoP is a network made up of

THE COMPANY ADDRESS OF SHIT MANAGEMENT

Guidance on ES method selection

Methodological Requirements		Research Oriented Reasons				
Data	Amount required	Uncertainty Can explore/address uncertaint				
Expertise	Available in the team Available in the consortium Ease of use Local knowledge	Novelty "Well-accepted"	Knowledge advancement Method addresses a research need Recognised approach			
Time resources	Speed of use Ease of use	approach	 Established approach Test the utility of method Refine existing approach Approach that combines previously un- combined elements Approach that goes beyond simple models 			
Economic resources	Cost-effective	Improvement of				
Spatial Scale	Spatial analysis Spatially explicit	methods				
Temporal scale	Temporal analysis Temporal scales Scenarios can be explored	Compose hility	Build experience Possibility to replicate in other resear sites			
Uncertainty	Can explore/address uncertainty	Comparability	Results are comparable with other research sites			



Guidance on ES method selection

Stakeholder Oriented Reasons

Facilitate Stakeholder participation	Stimulate sharing views and knowledge Encourage stakeholder discussion Foster social learning Method easy to explain to non-academic stakeholders
Co-design and co-production of knowledge	Chosen by stakeholder Explore suitability of approach with stakeholders Include expert knowledge from outside the research team Include local non-academic stakeholders
Local experience	Local knowledge
Decision Oriented Reasons	
Uncertainty	Can explore/address uncertainty
Raising awareness	Gain/improve understanding of the system Dissemination and pedagogical objectives
Issues of concern	Covers many ecosystem services Facilitates representation of cultural/spiritual values Trade-offs can be addressed
Planning management relevance	Is relevant for planners/managers







Monetary methods decision tree





Socio-cultural methods decision tree



METHOD FACTSHEET

Deliberative valuation

Introduction

Deliberative valuation is not one particular valuation method, but it is a valuation paradigm (Raymond et al. 2014) providing a framework to combine various tools and techniques that bridges citizens and academia as well as different disciplines within science. Deliberative valuation is based on the assumption that valuation is a social process in which values are discovered, constructed and reflected in a dialogue with others (Wilson and Howarth 2002). Therefore, deliberative valuation invites stakeholders and citizens (the general public) to form their preferences for ecosystem services together through an open dialogue, which allows consideration of ethical beliefs, moral commitments and social norms beyond individual and collective utility (Aldred 1997, Satterfield 2001, Wegner and Pascual 2011).

Keywords

deliberation, public engagement, participation, discourse, relational values, social values, equity

Why would I chose this approach?

Deliberative valuation is considered particularly appropriate when valuing ecosystem services and benefits derived from them, because they are common goods the existence of which has consequences for other people, in other parts of the world, and across generations. These choices are fundamentally ethical and hence the right question is not what "I want for me" (reflecting the self-oriented values that follow individual rationality) but rather what is "right to do" (reflecting the others-oriented values that follow collective rationality) (Vatn 2009, Chan et al. 2012). Open discourse, generated by deliberative techniques, is able to unfold relational values and reflect upon the social context of valuation. Therefore, deliberative methods are also proposed to account for social equity issues in valuation (Wilson and Howarth 2002).

Filtering method selection tool

) 🕂 🜒 oppla.eu/	guidancetool					Google	—ā	and
	pp	а			sk My Acco	r search terms	s the	ow how e swers
HOME	ASK OPPLA	WHAT IS OPPLA	EVENTS	GUIDANCE TOO	L NEV	IS	сом aff	ect the
The Oppla Guid of questions. As	lance tool is designed s you work through th	d to help you choose the em, tools that become u	most appropriate e nsuitable will turn gi	cosystem service tool rey leaving you with po	for your needs. E otential useful too	Each tab covers		lection
YOUR AIMS	YOUR SYSTEM	RESOURCES DAT	A ECOSYSTEM	SERVICES FUTUR	E ANALYSIS	OUTPUTS	TOOLS	
Please work three	ough the questions b	elow; if you can't answe	r some questions, ju	st leave them blank.				
W How much time do you have available to complete the tool?					SOC T/O VALUE ANYSIS			
 Very little, an Three to five h A day Two days Three or four of A week Several weeks As long as it to 	days						MODEPOLEINVESTIBATLCAESQSOur ECOSYSSCENES	
> What level	of expertise is ava	ilable to you?					CBA CBA	
> What equi	pment do you have	avalaible?						
📏 Are you wi	illing to undergo so	me training to use the	tool?				PES PA	
> Can you a	ccess local knowle	dge? (i.e., data, stakeh	olders, etc pertiner	nt to your study area)		SEEA EHS	
> What reso	urces will you have	for disseminating you	r results?		Max		NNL VOL CANVAS EIA EIA LCA	es Paterson
> How many	v people will you ha	ve to help?			11/10(sk-up b	y Janne	s Paterson

Conclusions

- Oppla is a virtual hub which aims to provide a range of users with information and products for ecosystem service assessment.
- Oppla members are likely to have a wide range of backgrounds and different purposes for ES assessment.
- Hence, a single guidance tool is unlikely to fit all needs.
- Multiple guidance tools are under development and being tested across case studies with both scientists and practitioners.
- Continual testing and improvement is likely to be needed in the future to react to feedback from Oppla members.





Thank you!