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Background

• BEA Outdoor Recreation Satellite Account:
  • Outdoor Rec = 2.2 percent of GDP (2016)
• Roughly one-half the benefits from water quality improvements arise in the context of outdoor recreation (Freeman, 1986)
• Most recreation studies have limited spatial / temporal scope (data limitations)
• Federal policies are likely to have large-scale impacts over multiple years
Marine Recreation Information Program (MRIP)

- NOAA’s survey to measure recreational catch and effort
- Collected continuously from the early 1980s to the present in bi-monthly waves
- Spatial coverage from Maine to Louisiana
- Emerging research has shown how to estimate travel cost models and generate policy relevant benefit estimates
  - Methods may be of use to USDA and other agencies
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MRIP Data

- Formerly MRFSS
- Fielded in bimonthly waves continuously from early 1980s
- Shoreline, private/rental boating, charter boating
- Primary purpose – measure total recreational catch
  - Total Catch = Catch Per Unit Effort x Effort
- Two main surveys
  - Intercept
  - Phone (mail starting 2018)
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MRIP Data
Key Changes / Limitations

- Intercept survey – choice-based
  - MRIP now publishes designed-based weights
- Shift from phone to mail survey suggests historical undercounting
  - New weights are forthcoming
- Limited information on anglers
  - Census / ACS data often used
  - Or economic add-on data
- Site choice and participation data collected in separate surveys
  - Innovative methods have been developed
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Chesapeake's Pollution Diet

- TMDL’s established December 2010
- Recreational benefits watershed wide, but authors focus on benefits in the Bay
- Using 2008-2010 shoreline and boating data, link recreational participation and site choice to changes in water quality and induced changes in catch rates
- Estimate aggregate benefits for Chesapeake
Chesapeake's Pollution Diet

- **Key Finding:**
  - Recreational fishing benefits between $10 and $90 million annually
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- **Key Finding:**
  - Recreational fishing benefits between $10 and $90 million annually

- **Implications for USDA:**
  - Similar methods can be used to estimate recreational benefits of large scale agro-environmental policies
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Climate Change and Coastal Angling

- Combine six years (2004-2009) of MRIP shoreline fishing data with weather data from PRISM

- Consider the long-run implications of climate change on angler participation and welfare
  - 132 GCMs
  - 3 predictions (2.6, 4.5, 8.5)
  - 3 time scales (2020-2049, 2050-79, 2080-99)
Effects of Temperature on Participation

![Graph showing the effects of temperature on participation. The graph plots parameter estimates against maximum daily temperature in °F. There is a notable drop in parameter estimates at higher temperatures.]
Effects of Precipitation on Participation
Welfare Effects of Different Scenarios

Panel A: RCP 2.6

Panel B: RCP 4.5

Panel C: RCP 8.5

Note: For RCP 2.6 (4.5, 8.5), we used 36 (42, 41) different GCMs. The dashed line shows the average of those models and the gray area represents the full range (i.e., highest and lowest welfare estimates) from all tested GCMs for each RCP scenario.
Spatial Heterogeneity

Figure 6: Regional Welfare Effects under RCP 8.5

Panel A: Gulf Region (*note y-axis scale difference in this panel)

Panel B: Southeast Region

Panel C: Mid-Atlantic Region

Panel D: New England Region

Note: To better visualize the estimated impacts for Panels B, C, and D, please note that we used a different scale for the y-axis than Panel A (Gulf). The solid lines represent the average of all 41 RCP 8.5 predictions for each region and the dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals estimated using a parametric bootstrap (Kvinsky and Robb 1980) with 200 draws.
Seasonal Heterogeneity

Figure 7: Temporal Welfare Effects under RCP 8.5

Panel A: Wave 1 (January & February)
Panel B: Wave 2 (March & April)
Panel C: Wave 3 (May & June)
Panel D: Wave 4 (July & August)
Panel E: Wave 5 (September & October)
Panel F: Wave 6 (November & December)

Note: The solid lines represent the average of all 41 RCP 8.5 predictions for each wave and the dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals estimated using a parametric bootstrap (Krinsky and Robb 1986) with 200 draws.
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Value of a Lost Trip (VOLT)

- Often used in benefit transfer policy contexts (e.g., oil or CAFO spills)

\[ \text{Damages} = VOLT \times \Delta \text{Trips} \]
Q: How does the VOLT vary across time and space?

- Using 16 years of MRIP data (2004-2016) from Maine to Louisiana (i.e., 300k trips), estimates 344 separate VOLT across:
  - Years
  - Seasons
  - Regions
Key Findings

- Average VOLT = $42 (2012 dollars)
  - Varies between $9 and $85
- Significant heterogeneity across:
  - Regions (most valuable = Carolinas/Virginia)
  - Season (most valuable = summer)
- Little heterogeneity across years
  - But some evidence of higher values after Great Recession
Key Findings

Value of a Trip by Region over Time

- Gulf
- FL
- Southeast
- Mid-Atlantic
- New England
Key Findings

- Average VOLT = $42 (2012 dollars)
  - Varies between $9 and $85
- Significant heterogeneity across:
  - Regions (most valuable = Carolinas/Virgina)
  - Season (most valuable = summer)
- Little heterogeneity across years
  - But some evidence of higher values after Great Recession
Conclusions

• Because of its spatial and temporal coverage, MRIP represents a valuable resource for applied benefit-cost analysis
• Benefit estimates for several policy scenarios can be generated
  • Water quality improvements
  • Climate impacts
  • Lost trips
• Due to MRIP’s complexity, its use will generate methodological innovations
Thank you!
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