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The DECOMP Physical Model

Addresses two of six uncertainties that hindered movement of CERP DECOMP

- Ecological need to backfill canals
- Ecological benefit of sheetflow

DPM Science Plan

An objective of DPM is to build structural features that will cause a range of surface water velocities to occur in marginally degraded portion of the natural system.

The creation of this “flow field” should allow the evaluation of the spatial variability of particle and nutrient transport
Objectives

- Water quality responses to increased flow
  - Inflow concentrations and loads
  - Spatial variability in flow field

- Sediment movement responses to increased flow
  - Critical entrainment velocities
  - Particle dynamics
Southern and eastern transects
TP input into DPM

Date (mm/yy)

06/13 09/13 12/13 03/14 06/14 09/14 12/14 03/15

TP (ug/L)

L67A
S151
S152
3A-15
Temporal flow and no-flow conditions

- Elevated TP concentration observed at RS1
Increased P supply = reduced P limitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Phosphatase activity (umol/g afdf/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5-1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Nov 2013
- Nov 2014
What moved?
What moved?
Eastern transect - flow day Nov 2014

- Greater flow in easterly direction
- Higher TP concentration
- Spike short-lived
Relationship between TP, particles and flow at E250, 250 m from inflow
Sediment entrainment

1.5 cm /s (5 cm above sediment bed)
Changes in floc entrainment - Z5-1
Changes in floc entrainment- RS1

![Graph showing changes in floc entrainment with time and turbidity](image-url)
Increased TP concentration at Z5-1 following closure of S152

Pre-post structure closure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TP (ug/L)</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>Velocity (cm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/01</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

• Short-term increased TP concentrations at sites closest to the structure, particularly along the path of greater flow. A similar response was observed for turbidity, though offset in time to TP. Turbidity does not accurately measure fine particles which may have higher TP content.

• TP increased in response to structure closure and drop in water levels.

• CET values measured post-flow in 2013 were generally lower than those measured pre-flow. Flow may have long lasting effects on sediment entrainability. 2014 pre and post flow were similar, but entrainment was greater post-flow.
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